arXiv:1207.5433v1 [math.AG] 23 Jul 2012

LYAPUNOV SPECTRUM OF BALL QUOTIENTS WITH APPLICATIONS TO COMMENSURABILITY QUESTIONS

ANDRÉ KAPPES AND MARTIN MÖLLER

Abstract. We determine the Lyapunov spectrum of ball quotients arising from cyclic coverings. The computations are performed by rewriting the sum of Lyapunov exponents as ratios of intersection numbers and by the analysis of the period map near boundary divisors.

As a corollary, we complete the classification of commensurability classes of all presently known non-arithmetic ball quotients.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background 3
3. Lyapunov exponents of ball quotients: Generalities 9
4. Arithmeticity 14
5. Commensurability invariants 14
6. Cyclic covers 16
7. Lyapunov exponents of ball quotients constructed via cyclic coverings 25
Appendix A. Local calculations for the complex n-ball 33
References 36

1. Introduction

This paper is intended to contribute to the problem of classifying commensurability classes of ball quotients with a technique that has been useful to understand the Teichmüller geodesic flow, the calculation of the Lyapunov spectrum.

All the presently known non-arithmetic ball quotients arise from cyclic coverings of the projective line, an investigation started by [DM86] and completed by [Mos86] and [Thu98]. Other earlier and later such constructions turned out to be commensurable to these ball quotients. In fact, the book [DM93] gathers a lot of techniques to detect commensurabilities between lattices. To detect non-commensurability, the only technique appearing in the literature seems to be the trace field and (non-)compactness. As a consequence, [Pau10] asks whether among the 15 cyclic covering examples, there are 7, 8 or 9 commensurability classes. As one result of our methods we show that there are in fact 9 classes.

In fact we show that for ball quotients that come with a uniformizing variation of Hodge structures (more precisely: that come with a modular embedding,

---

The authors are partially supported by the ERC-StG 257137.

as explained in Section 5) the primitive Lyapunov spectrum is a commensurability invariant, that we can effectively calculate for families of cyclic coverings, see Theorem 7.1. Roughly, the Lyapunov spectrum measures the growth rate of cohomology classes under parallel transport along the geodesic flow of the ball quotient and primitive refers to the $\mathbb{Q}$-irreducible part of cohomology that contains the uniformizing local subsystem.

The methods originate from the space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ of flat surfaces, that is pairs (X,ω) of a compact Riemann surface together with a non-zero holomorphic one-form. This space admits a natural action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the diagonal group action is the Teichmüller geodesic flow, see [Zor06] for a survey. In this situation Lyapunov exponents have been determined e.g. for families of cyclic coverings branched over 4 points in [BM10] and [EKZ10]. The the simplicity of the spectrum (but no precise values) are known for a generic flat surface (X,ω) of $g(X)\geq 2$. A fundamental observation of Kontsevich and Zorich (see [Kon97] and also [EKZ11]) relates the sum of Lyapunov exponents to the degree of the Hodge bundle (or a summand, if the Hodge structure splits) and this degree can actually be calculated.

This observation can be extended to ball quotients, since they are Kähler-Einstein manifolds, as in the one-dimensional case. More precisely, we have the following result, which we state for abstract variations of Hodge structures.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex polarized variation of weight 1 with unipotent monodromy over a ball quotient $B=\mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$ of constant curvature -4, where Γ is a cofinite, torsionfree lattice in $\mathrm{PU}(1,n)$. Let $\overline{B}$ be a smooth compactification of B with normal crossing boundary divisor Δ. Then the Lyapunov spectrum of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has the following properties.*

i) ***Normalization.*** *If $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has an irreducible summand which is uniformizing, then the top Lyapunov exponent is one.*

ii) ***Duplication.*** *If an $\mathbb{R}$-irreducible direct summand $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is reducible over $\mathbb{C}$, then each Lyapunov exponent of $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has even multiplicity.*

iii) ***Zero exponents for non-real factors.*** *If an irreducible summand $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has signature (p,q), then at least $2|p-q|$ of the Lyapunov exponents corresponding to $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are zero.*

iv) ***Partial sums are intersection numbers.*** *Let $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a direct summand of rank $2k$ in the decomposition of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the positive Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k$ of $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfy*

$$\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_k=\frac{(n+1)\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{W}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^n}, \tag{1}$$

where $\omega_{\overline{B}}=\bigwedge^n\Omega^1_{\overline{B}}(\log\Delta)$, and $\mathcal{W}^{1,0}$ is the Deligne extension of $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$.

The second part of this paper shows that for all known examples of ball quotients all *individual* Lyapunov exponents in the primitive part can be calculated using the preceding theorem and local computations.

First of all, arithmetic lattices are boring in this context. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we show in Proposition 4.1 that for an arithmetic lattice the primitive Lyapunov spectrum is *maximally degenerate*, i.e. it consists of $\{+1,0,-1\}$ only and the number of $+1$ is determined by the signature of the Hodge inner product.

The non-arithmetic examples are much more interesting. We calculate the individual Lyapunov exponents of the primitive part for all known non-arithmetic examples in Theorem 7.1. We state a particularly interesting case here.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $f : \mathcal{X} \to B_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \textit{diagonals})^5$ *be the family of cyclic coverings of* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *given by*

$$y^{12} = (x - x_1)^3 (x - x_2)^3 (x - x_3)^5 (x - x_4)^6 (x - x_5)^7,$$

respectively

$$y^2 = (x - x_1)^4 (x - x_2)^4 (x - x_3)^4 (x - x_4)^5 (x - x_5)^7.$$

Let $\mathbb{L}$ *be the uniformizing direct summand of* $R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}$ *that exhibits* B_0 *as an open set in a non-compact ball quotient. Then the Lyapunov exponents of the primitive part* $\mathbb{P}$ *are given by*

$$1, \tfrac{5}{17}, 0, -\tfrac{5}{17}, -1, \qquad \textit{respectively} \qquad 1, \tfrac{7}{22}, 0, -\tfrac{7}{22}, -1,$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ *in this case is the* $\mathbb{C}$*-subvariation associated with the direct sum of the Galois conjugates of the* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$*-form of* $\mathbb{L}$*.*

As a corollary to this theorem we obtain that these two ball quotients are not commensurable. The full proof of the commensurability statement mentioned at the beginning is given in Corollary 7.2.

In order to prove Theorem 7.1, we reduce the calculation of $\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{W}^{1,0})$ to the computation of local invariants near the 'boundary divisors', where two of the branch points coalesce. It turns out that suffices to compute the cokernel of Kodaira-Spencer maps near these boundary divisors and this will be done by a local analysis of hypergeometric integrals. As final piece of information we need the intersection rings and Chern classes of the tangent bundle of the moduli spaces of weighted stable curves (or their quotients by finite groups in the case (ΣINT)).

Naturally, one would also like to calculate the Lyapunov exponents of the non-primitive part. However,

Remark 1.3. The problem of calculating the Lyapunov exponents of the whole variation of Hodge structures $R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}$ of a family of cyclic coverings branched over $N \geq 5$ points is not well-defined in any of the non-arithmetic cases, since there always exists a direct summand that does not extend to the ball, i.e. whose period map is not defined on $\mathbb{B}^n$ (see Example 6.6). For a discussion of the case $N = 4$, we refer to [BM10], [Wri11], and [EKZ10].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide background information about ball quotients, Lyapunov exponents and variations of Hodge structures. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. The arithmetic case is quickly discussed in Section 4. Section 5 contains the definition of modular embeddings for ball quotients and the proof of commensurability invariance of the primitive Lyapunov spectrum. The basic facts about cyclic covers and those cases that give rise to (non-arithmetic) ball quotients are recalled in Section 6. This section also contains the description of intersection rings of these ball quotients.

2. Background

2.1. **The complex ball and its Kähler structure.** We first collect some well-known facts about the Kähler structure of ball quotients. We carefully carry along the dependence on the curvature in order to have a consistent normalization in Theorem 1.1 i). Let $\mathbb{C}^{1,n}$ be $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ equipped with the following hermitian pairing. For $\underline{W} = (W_0, W)$ and $\underline{Z} = (Z_0, Z) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ we let

$$\langle \underline{W}, \underline{Z} \rangle_{1,n} = W_0 \overline{Z_0} - (W, Z),$$

where $(W,Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \overline{Z_i}$. Then $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the space of lines where the hermitian pairing is positive definite. We may identify $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ with

$$\mathbb{B}^n = \{z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) : \sum |z_i|^2 < 1\}$$

via the map $f : \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{1,n}), z \mapsto (1 : z)$.

$\mathbb{B}^n$ is naturally endowed with a Kähler structure, whose Kähler form in these coordinates is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} &= 2\kappa i \partial\overline{\partial} \log(\tfrac{1}{2}\langle f(z), f(z)\rangle_{1,n}) \\ &= \frac{2\kappa i}{(1-(z,z))^2}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j dz_j\right) \wedge \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k d\bar{z}_k\right) + (1-(z,z))\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j\right\}\end{aligned}$$

with $\kappa > 0$ [Gol99]. Its holomorphic sectional curvature is $\frac{-2}{\kappa}$. Setting $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the results of Theorem 1.1.

The corresponding Riemannian metric is given by

$$g_{\mathrm{hyp}} = \frac{2\kappa}{(1-(z,z))^2}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j dz_j\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k d\bar{z}_k\right) + (1-(z,z))\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j d\bar{z}_j\right\}.$$

The volume form is

$$\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n} = \tfrac{1}{n!}\omega^n_{\mathrm{hyp}} = \frac{(2\kappa i)^n}{(1-(z,z))^{n+1}} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n. \tag{2}$$

2.1.1. **The Laplacian on the complex ball.** Let Δ_{hyp} be the Laplacian for the Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{B}^n$. It is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}} = c_\Delta (1-(z,z))\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_i} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}\right). \tag{3}$$

where $c_\Delta = \frac{1}{\kappa}$.

The following notations are needed in the next lemma. For $i \neq j$, define the $2n-4$ forms σ_{ij} as

$$\sigma_{ij} = \mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\widehat{z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}}\bar{z}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\widehat{z_j \wedge \mathrm{d}}\bar{z}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_n$$

where the $\widehat{\cdot}$ indicates that the respective 2-form is missing. In the same way, define the $(2n-2)$-form

$$\omega_k = \mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\widehat{z_k \wedge \mathrm{d}}\bar{z}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_n.$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$(\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}})^{n-1} = \frac{(2\kappa i)^{n-1}(n-1)!}{(1-(z,z))^n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (1-|z_i|^2)\omega_i + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\neq j}}^n \bar{z}_i z_j dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij}\right).$$

Further,

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}(F) \cdot \mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n} = l \cdot \partial\bar{\partial}F \wedge \omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{hyp}} \tag{4}$$

with $l = \frac{c_\Delta (2\kappa i)}{(n-1)!}$.

2.1.2. **Geodesic polar coordinates.** We use the parametrization of $\mathbb{B}^n$ by geodesic polar coordinates centered at $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$. Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2n-1})$ be a local parametrization of $T_x^1\mathbb{B}^n \subset T_x\mathbb{B}^n$. Then the inverse of

$$\exp_x : T_x\mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{B}^n, \quad (t,\theta) \mapsto \exp_x(t\theta_1, \dots, t\theta_{2n-1})$$

parametrizes $\mathbb{B}^n$ by geodesic polar coordinates.

The volume form on $\mathbb{B}^n$ written in geodesic polar coordinates is

$$\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n} = \frac{(4\kappa)^n}{2\sqrt{2\kappa}} \sinh^{2n-2}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{2t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right) \mathrm{d}t\, \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

This follows from (2) and the $\mathrm{PU}(1,n)$-invariance, by which we can reduce the computation to $x = 0$. We use the equality

$$\left(\tfrac{i}{2}\right)^n \mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_n = \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n \wedge \mathrm{d}y_n$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_n \wedge \mathrm{d}y_n = r^{2n-1}\, \mathrm{d}r\, \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

where $\mathrm{d}\sigma$ denotes the volume form on $T_x^1\mathbb{B}^n$. Moreover the Euclidean radius r is related to the hyperbolic radius t by $r = \tanh(t/(\sqrt{2\kappa}))$. Hence the formula follows using $\cosh(x)\sinh(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sinh(2x)$.

Moreover, we need the volume of $\mathbb{B}_t^n = \mathbb{B}_t^n(x) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n \mid d_{\mathrm{hyp}}(x,z) < t\}$. It is given by

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}_t^n) = \int_0^t \int_{T_x^1\mathbb{B}^n} \mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n} = \frac{(4\kappa)^n \sigma_{2n-1}}{2n} \sinh^{2n}\left(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right).$$

Here σ_{2n-1} is the Euclidean volume of $T_x^1\mathbb{B}^n$.

Finally, by [Hel94] the Laplacian on $\mathbb{B}^n$ written in geodesic polar coordinates centered at 0 is

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{A(t)}\frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{S_t(0)} \tag{5}$$

where $A(t)$ is the area of the sphere $S_t(0) \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ of radius t centered at 0. Here $\Delta_{S_t(0)}$ is the Laplacian on $S_t(0)$ for the Riemannian metric induced from the one on $\mathbb{B}^n$.

We have

$$A(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}_t^n) = \frac{(4\kappa)^n \sigma_{2n-1}}{2\sqrt{2\kappa}} \sinh^{2n-2}(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}) \sinh(\tfrac{2t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}).$$

Therefore the factor in front of $\partial/\partial t$ in (5) is

$$\begin{aligned} A(t)^{-1}A'(t) &= A(t)^{-1} \cdot (4\kappa)^{n-1}\sigma_{2n-1} 2\sinh^{2n-2}(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})\big(2n\cosh^2(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}) - 1\big) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{\kappa}\big(n\coth(2\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}) + \frac{n-1}{\sinh(2\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})}\big) \end{aligned}$$

The following version of Green's formula was used in [For02] for the hyperbolic plane. It carries over to higher dimension.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, let $\Lambda : \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, and let L be a smooth solution to the Poisson equation $\Delta L = \Lambda$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{T_x^1\mathbb{B}^n} L(\exp_x(t,\theta))d\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{2n}\tanh\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}_t^n)}\int_{\mathbb{B}_t^n(x)} \Lambda\, \mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n}.$$

2.2. **Weight one variation of Hodge structures (VHS).** Let B be a base manifold which is the complement in the projective variety Y of a normal crossing divisor Δ. For a field K, a weight one K-variation of Hodge structures on B consists of a K-local system $\mathbb{W}$ and a holomorphic subbundle $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ of $\mathbb{W}\otimes_K \mathcal{O}_B$ that induces fiber by fiber a Hodge structure of weight 1.

The VHS of weight one is called *polarized*, if there is a locally constant hermitian form ψ on $\mathbb{W}$, for which the decomposition $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}\oplus\mathbb{W}^{0,1}$ is orthogonal, and which is positive definite on $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ and negative definite on $\mathbb{W}^{0,1}$. Consequently, its signature is $(\mathrm{rk}\mathbb{W}^{1,0}, \mathrm{rk}\mathbb{W}^{0,1})$. This indefinite hermitian form will be referred to as Hodge inner product. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}$, we require instead the existence of a non-degenerate, locally constant, antisymmetric form $Q(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{W}$, which is zero on $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}\otimes\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ and on $\mathbb{W}^{0,1}\otimes\mathbb{W}^{0,1}$ and such that $i^{p-q}Q(v,\overline{v}) > 0$ for every non-zero $v \in \mathbb{W}^{p,q}$. The indefinite hermitian form on $\mathbb{W}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ is then given by

$$\psi(v,w) := \tfrac{i}{2}Q(v,\overline{w})$$

If $K \subset \mathbb{R}$, then we may write every element $w \in \mathbb{W}$ as $w = \mathrm{Re}\,(\omega)$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ and define the *Hodge norm* as $\|w\| = \psi(\omega,\omega)^{1/2}$. We extend this norm to $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}+i\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ as orthogonal direct sum and also to $\mathbb{C}$-sub-VHS. This Hodge norm will be used throughout when talking about Lyapunov exponents. In particular, for $v \in \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|^2 = \|\mathrm{Re}\,(v)\|^2 + \|i\mathrm{Im}\,(v)\|^2 &= \psi((v+\overline{v})^{1,0},(v+\overline{v})^{1,0}) + \psi((v-\overline{v})^{1,0},(v-\overline{v})^{1,0})\\ &= 2(\psi(v^{1,0},v^{1,0}) + \psi(\overline{v^{0,1}},\overline{v^{0,1}}))\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we are given a polarized $\mathbb{R}$-VHS $\mathbb{W}$ of weight one that splits over $\mathbb{C}$ as $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{V}\oplus\overline{\mathbb{V}}$ into $\mathbb{C}$-VHS. Since $\psi(\overline{v},\overline{v}) = -\psi(v,v)$, the above computation shows that we may also flip the sign of ψ on the negative definite part $\mathbb{V}^{0,1}$ in order to obtain a positive hermitian form that computes the Hodge norm of vectors in $\mathbb{V}$:

$$\|v\|^2 = 2(\psi(v^{1,0},v^{1,0}) - \psi(v^{0,1},v^{0,1})).$$

If $g : A \to B$ is a family of abelian varieties, then $\mathbb{V} = R^1 f_* K$ is a polarized K-VHS of weight one. The polarization is given by the symplectic intersection form on cycles. The corresponding norm is called Hodge norm. If $f : \mathcal{X} \to B$ is a family of curves, we use the family of Jacobians instead.

A polarized VHS $\mathbb{W}$ defines a period map $p : \hat{B} \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{W})$ from the universal cover of B to its period domain $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{W})$. For weight one VHS of signature $(1,n)$ the period domain is just the complex ball $\mathbb{B}^n$. The VHS is called *uniformizing*, if the period map is an isomorphism.

Suppose that a $\mathbb{Q}$-VHS $\mathbb{V}$ contains a uniformizing sub-VHS $\mathbb{W}$. The *primitive part* of $\mathbb{V}$ is defined to be the smallest $\mathbb{Q}$-sub-VHS $\mathbb{P}$ of $\mathbb{V}$, such that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains $\mathbb{W}$. A priori, this definition depends on $\mathbb{W}$, if $\mathbb{V}$ contains several uniformizing sub-VHS. We will see in Theorem 5.4 that they are all isomorphic, so that dropping the dependence on $\mathbb{W}$ will cause no confusion.

For the application below we explicit the (unique up to isomorphism) uniformizing VHS $\mathbb{U}$ over $\mathbb{B}^n$ together with the polarization in terms of the form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{1,n}$ used above. Over $\mathbb{B}^n$ we represent a constant $\mathbb{C}$-local system of rank $n+1$ by row vectors and define $\mathbb{U}^{1,0}_z$ as the line generated by $\underline{z} = (1,z)$ in $\{z\}\times\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let π_z denote the orthogonal projection on the 1, 0-part. Then for $\underline{v} \in \{z\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\|\underline{v}\|_z^2 = \langle \pi_z(\underline{v}), \pi_z(\underline{v})\rangle_{1,n} - \langle (1-\pi_z)(\underline{v}), (1-\pi_z)(\underline{v})\rangle_{1,n} = -\langle \underline{v}, \underline{v}\rangle_{1,n} + 2\frac{|\langle \underline{v}, \underline{z}\rangle_{1,n}|^2}{\langle \underline{z}, \underline{z}\rangle_{1,n}}$$

2.2.1. **The Higgs field.** In the sequel we suppose throughout that the monodromy of the local system $\mathbb{W}$ on B is unipotent, or pass to a cover where this holds. The associated holomorphic vector bundle $\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_B$ has a unique extension due to Deligne to a holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on Y. We let $\mathcal{E}^{1,0}$ be the extension of $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ inside $\mathcal{E}$ and set $\mathcal{E}^{0,1} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}^{1,0}$. Further let $\Omega^1_Y(\log \Delta)$ be the bundle of differential forms on Y with logarithmic poles along Δ; then $\omega_Y = \bigwedge^{\dim B} \Omega^1_Y(\log \Delta)$ is called the log-canonical bundle. The graded piece of the Gauss-Manin connection is an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-linear map

$$\tau : \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \to \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \otimes \Omega^1_Y(\log \Delta),$$

called the Higgs field. Its dual is the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-linear map

$$\tau^\vee : \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log \Delta) \to \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \otimes \Omega^1_Y(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log \Delta) \to \mathcal{E}^{0,1}$$

obtained by composing with the natural contraction. Another viewpoint on the same map is the map

$$\widetilde{\tau} : \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log \Delta) \to \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}^{1,0}, \mathcal{E}^{0,1})$$

obtained from $\tau^\vee$ by tensoring with $(\mathcal{E}^{1,0})^*$. If $p : \hat{B} \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{W})$ denotes the period map of $\mathbb{W}$, then its differential descends to a sheaf map on B, which is equal to $\widetilde{\tau}$ restricted to B.

2.3. **Lyapunov spectrum for polarized VHS.** We quickly recall Oseledec's theorem together with some properties

Theorem 2.3. *Let $g_t : (M, \mu) \to (M, \mu)$ be an ergodic flow on a space M with finite measure μ. Suppose that the action of $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ lifts equivariantly to a flow G_t on some measurable real bundle V on M. Suppose there exists a (not equivariant) norm $\|\cdot\|$ on V such that the functions*

$$x \mapsto \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \log^+ \|G_t\|_x \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \log^+ \|G_{1-t}\|_{g_t(x)} \tag{6}$$

are in $L^1(M, \mu)$. Then there exist real constants $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k$ and a filtration

$$V = V_{\lambda_1} \supset \cdots \supset V_{\lambda_k} \supset 0$$

by measurable vector subbundles such that, for almost all $m \in M$ and all $v \in V_m \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$$\lambda_i = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|G_t(v)\|, \tag{7}$$

where i is the maximal value such that $v \in (V_i)_m$.
The V_{λ_i} do not change if $\|\cdot\|$ is replaced by another norm of 'comparable' size (e.g. if one is a scalar multiple of the other).

Suppose that V carries a symplectic structure preserved by the flow. Then the Lyapunov exponents are symmetric with respect to zero, i.e. $\lambda_{k+1-i} = -\lambda_i$. Moreover, using time reversal, one can construct an opposite filtration. Using this, if we denote the graded pieces of the filtration by $E_{\lambda_i} = V_{\lambda_i}/V_{\lambda_{i+1}}$, then we have a canonical measurable direct sum decomposition

$$V = \oplus_{i=1}^{k} E_{\lambda_i}.$$

We call the values λ_i, repeated with multiplicity $\dim E_{\lambda_i}$ the set of Lyapunov exponents or *Lyapunov spectrum* of (M, μ, V, g_t). The positive Lyapunov spectrum is by definition the first half of the symmetric Lyapunov spectrum.

In all the applications below, the manifold M will be the unit tangent bundle T^1B of a ball quotient B, g_t will be the geodesic flow and μ will be the push-forward of Haar measure on $\mathrm{PU}(1,n)$. V will be the pullback along $T^1B \to B$ of the vector bundle associated with a polarized $\mathbb{R}$-VHS $\mathbb{V}$, and will be endowed V with the Hodge norm. The flat connection then provides a lift G_t of the geodesic flow g_t on the base T^1B. We first check the integrability condition.

Lemma 2.4. *In this setting, the integrability condition* (6) *holds. More precisely, we have*

$$\left|\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \log \|G_t(v)\|\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. We first argue that it suffices to show (8). It clearly suffices to bound $\log\|G_t(v)\|$ for every v of norm 1 by a constant depending continuously on $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assuming (8), we have

$$\left|\log\|G_t(v)\|\right| = \left|\int_0^t \tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \log\|G_s(v)\|\,\mathrm{d}s\right| \leq \int_0^t \left|\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log\|G_t(v)\|\right| \leq \tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}.$$

Let $\vartheta \in T^1B$, and let $\gamma : [0,t] \to B$ be the geodesic with $\gamma'(0) = \vartheta$. For $v \in V_\vartheta$, the lift $G_t(v)$ of the flow is given by a flat section w of V along γ with $w_\vartheta = v$. We will use the following formula, which is proved in [FMZ11, Lemma 2.3] for the case of the Teichmüller geodesic flow; the proof carries over to our situation.

$$\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi\big(w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)}, w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)}\big)\Big|_{t=t_0} = 2 \cdot \mathrm{Re}\left(\psi\big(\widetilde{\tau}_{\gamma'(t)}(w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)}), w^{0,1}_{\gamma(t)}\big)\right)\Big|_{t=t_0}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log\|G_t(v)\|\Big|_{t=t_0} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\|G_{t_0}(v)\|^{-2} \cdot\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi\big(w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)}, w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)}\big)\Big|_{t=t_0} \\
&= \|G_{t_0}(v)\|^{-2} \cdot\ \mathrm{Re}\left(\psi\big(\widetilde{\tau}_{\gamma'(t)}(w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)}), w^{0,1}_{\gamma(t)}\big)\right)\Big|_{t=t_0},
\end{aligned}$$

hence by applying Cauchy-Schwarz and using the submultiplicativity of the various operator norms

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log\|G_t(v)\|\Big|_{t=t_0}\right| &\leq \|G_{t_0}(v)\|^{-2} \cdot \|\widetilde{\tau}_{\gamma'(t_0)}(w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t)})\| \cdot \|w^{0,1}_{\gamma(t_0)}\| \\
&\leq \|G_{t_0}(v)\|^{-2} \cdot \|\widetilde{\tau}_{\gamma'(t_0)}\| \cdot \|w^{1,0}_{\gamma(t_0)})\| \cdot \|w^{0,1}_{\gamma(t_0)}\| \\
&\leq \|\widetilde{\tau}_{\gamma(t_0)}\| \cdot \|\gamma'(t_0)\| = \|\widetilde{\tau}_{\gamma(t_0)}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The map $\widetilde{\tau}$ can be identified with the derivative of the period map $p : \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{rk}\mathbb{V}}$ associated with $\mathbb{V}$. As both $\mathbb{B}^n$ and the Siegel upper-halfspace are hermitian manifolds of constant negative holomorphic sectional curvature k_0 and K_0, a generalization of the Schwarz-Pick Lemma (e.g. [Roy80, Theorem 2]) implies that $\|\,\mathrm{d}p\| \leq \sqrt{k_0/K_0}$. With our normalization we have $k_0 = \frac{-2}{\kappa}$ and $K_0 = -4$. Thus $\|\,\mathrm{d}p\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}$. □

The hypothesis of Oseledec's theorem holds verbatim for $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and for the summands of a decomposition of the VHS into $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus \mathbb{W}_i$, replacing Siegel upper-half space by the respective period domain for the polarized VHS. In particular, it applies to the primitive part $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We call the corresponding part of the Lyapunov spectrum the *primitive Lyapunov spectrum.*

3. Lyapunov exponents of ball quotients: Generalities

From now on B will be a *ball quotient*, i.e. the quotient of $\mathbb{B}^n$ by some cofinite discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$. In this section we prove the general results announced as Theorem 1.1.

3.1. **Normalization.** Recall the construction and the metric of the uniformizing local system $\mathbb{U}$ from Section 2.2. The following proposition comprises the statement of Theorem 1.1 i).

Proposition 3.1. *The Lyapunov exponents of a uniformizing polarized VHS $\mathbb{U}$ are*

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{2n-2}, -\lambda_1, -\lambda_1$$

where $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}$, and the Lyapunov exponents of any polarized VHS $\mathbb{V}$ on B are all bounded by λ_1.

Proof. We can lift the whole situation to the universal cover and look at the geodesic flow on $T^1\mathbb{B}^n$ acting on $\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ endowed with the metric from Section 2.2. Moreover, it suffices to compute the Lyapunov exponents for the point $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1) \in T^1\mathbb{B}^n$ since $\mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ acts transitively on $T^1\mathbb{B}^n$ and the measure $\mu_{T^1\mathbb{B}^n}$ is invariant under this action. The unit speed geodesic flow starting at $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1)$ is given by

$$g_t \cdot (0, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1) = (\tanh\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big)e_1, (1 - \tanh^2\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big))\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1)$$

Since the local system is trivial, the geodesic flow acts trivially on the fibers of $T^1\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. However, the norm in this bundle varies. Now let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$ in the fiber over $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1)$. Set $\underline{z}_t = (1, z_t)$, where z_t is the projection of $g_t \cdot (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1)$ to $\mathbb{B}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_t \cdot \underline{x}\|^2 = \|\underline{x}\|^2_{z_t} &= -2\langle \underline{x}, \underline{x}\rangle_{1,n} + 4|\langle \underline{x}, \underline{z}_t\rangle_{1,n}|^2 \cdot (\langle \underline{z}_t, \underline{z}_t\rangle_{1,n})^{-1} \\ &= -2\langle \underline{x}, \underline{x}\rangle_{1,n} + 4|x_1 \cosh\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big) - x_2 \sinh\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\langle \underline{z}_t, \underline{z}_t\rangle_{1,n} = 1 - \tanh^2\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big) = \cosh^{-2}\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big)$$

and

$$\langle \underline{x}, \underline{z}_t\rangle_{1,n} = x_1 - x_2 \tanh\Big(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Big).$$

As $\cosh(\alpha) \pm \sinh(\alpha) = e^{\pm\alpha}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\lambda_1} &= [(1, -1, 0, \ldots, 0), (i, -i, 0, \ldots, 0)]_{\mathbb{R}} \\ V^0 &= \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n,1} | x_1 = x_2 = 0\} \\ V^{-\lambda_1} &= [(1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0), (i, i, 0, \ldots, 0)]_{\mathbb{R}} \end{aligned}$$

is an orthogonal decomposition of the fiber of $\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ over $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}e_1)$ which realizes the Lyapunov exponents.

The second claim follows from Lemma 2.4, and its proof. First, we can assume $\mathbb{V}$ to be an $\mathbb{R}$-VHS, since we otherwise consider the real form of $\mathbb{V} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}$, which has the same Lyapunov exponents as $\mathbb{V}$ (but possibly with different multiplicity). With variable curvature $k_0 = \frac{-2}{\kappa}$ on the ball, and $K_0 = -4$ on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}}}$, we have the bound

$$\tfrac{1}{t} \log \|G_t(v)\| = \tfrac{1}{t} \int_0^t \tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \log \|G_s(v)\| \,\mathrm{d}s \leq \sqrt{k_0/K_0} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}.$$

□

3.2. **Duplication.** When working with $\mathbb{C}$-variations, there are two duplication phenomena of the Lyapunov spectrum. The first one is obvious from the fact that in a normed $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V, a vector $v \neq 0$ and iv are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent and have the same norm. The second one occurs when an $\mathbb{R}$-VHS becomes reducible over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *be an irreducible direct summand as in Theorem 1.1 that splits after tensoring with* $\mathbb{C}$*. Then each Lyapunov exponent of* $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *occurs with even multiplicity.*

Proof. We have $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{V} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}$ with a $\mathbb{C}$-variation $\mathbb{V}$. Therefore, the Lyapunov spectrum of $\mathbb{W} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the union of the two spectra of $\mathbb{V}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{V}}$, and is the spectrum of $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with each exponent occurring with twice the multiplicity. Since $\|\overline{v}\| = \|v\|$ for each section v of $\mathbb{V}$, and $G_t \cdot \overline{v} = \overline{G_t \cdot v}$, it follows that $\mathbb{V}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{V}}$ have the same Lyapunov spectrum, and each individual exponent occurs with even multiplicity because of the first duplication phenomenon. □

3.3. **Zero exponents.** This result is probably well-known, it was observed for the Teichmüller geodesic flow in [FMZ12] and we sketch their argument.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 iii). First, with respect to the indefinite hermitian form ψ, the Oseledec subspaces E_{λ_i} are isotropic unless $\lambda_i = 0$ and pairwise orthogonal unless $\lambda_i = -\lambda_j$. Indeed,

$$|\psi(v_i, v_j)| \leq c(K)\|v_i\|\|v_j\|$$

on any compact set K of positive measure with a uniform constant depending only on K, and

$$\|G_t \cdot v_i\|\|G_t \cdot v_j\| \sim \exp((\lambda_i + \lambda_j)t),$$

which tends to zero for $t \to \infty$ or $t \to -\infty$. Since g_t returns to K for a sequence of times $t_k \to \infty$ or $t_k \to -\infty$,

$$\psi(v_i, v_j) = \psi(G_{t_k} \cdot v_i, G_{t_k} \cdot v_j) \to 0.$$

The key observation is now that an isotropic subspace in a vector space with an indefinite hermitian form of signature (p, q) has at most dimension $\min(p, q)$. Since both $\bigoplus_{\lambda_i > 0} E_{\lambda_i}$ and $\bigoplus_{\lambda_i < 0} E_{\lambda_i}$ are isotropic, hence of dimension at most $\min(p, q)$, the complement E_0 has to be of dimension at least $|p - q|$. □

Remark. The key observation of the proof also implies that the that the Lyapunov spectrum is symmetric with respect to 0 [FMZ12, Lemma A.3]. Non-degeneracy of the Hodge inner product implies that the Lyapunov spectrum containing λ_i also contains $-\lambda_i$. For $\lambda_i \neq 0$, $E_{\lambda_i} \oplus E_{-\lambda_i}$ is an orthogonal factor of the Oseledec decomposition, both of whose summands are isotropic, and hence have the same dimension. Therefore $\lambda_i = -\lambda_{p+q+1-i}$.

3.4. **Partial sums are intersection numbers.** We restate Part iv) of Theorem 1.1 for variable holomorphic sectional curvature $\frac{-2}{\kappa}$. Consider a polarized $\mathbb{R}$-VHS $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ on a ball quotient $B = \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$ as in Theorem 1.1 iv).

Theorem 3.3. *The positive Lyapunov exponents* $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ *of* $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *satisfy*

$$\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}} \cdot \frac{(n+1)\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{W}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^n}.$$

Before we engage in the proof, a few remarks are in order. Almost all ingredients are just parallel to [KZ97], see also [For02], explained in more detail in [BM10] for the curve case and [EKZ11] in general. There are a few modifications however.

First, we remark that the formula (9) is valid not only for (flat) surfaces, but for general weight one VHS. Second, the Laplacian on the n-ball replaces the Laplacian on the one-dimensional ball without major difficulties. Finally, at a crucial step we use that ball quotients are Kähler-Einstein manifolds to trade the first Chern class of the cotangent bundle for the class of the Kähler metric.

To start with the details, let $\Omega = \frac{1}{k!}\wedge^k Q \in (\bigwedge^{2k} \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. Consider a local section L of $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{W}$ over an open set U that is given by a decomposable vector $L = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$. Such a vector is called Lagrangian, if the symplectic form $Q(v_i, v_j) = 0$ for all pairs (i,j). For a Lagrangian decomposable vector we claim that the Hodge norm can be calculated (see [EKZ11] and in more detail in a forthcoming Bourbaki note of P. Hubert) by the formula

$$(9) \quad \|L\|^2 = \frac{|\Omega(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k)| \cdot |\Omega(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \wedge \bar\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar\omega_k)|}{|\Omega(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k \wedge \bar\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar\omega_k)|},$$

where $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k$ is a local basis of $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$. Note that the right hand side does not depend on the choice of this basis.

Lemma 3.4. *Given a decomposable Lagrangian section* L *of* $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{W}$ *as above over some open subset* U *of* B*, we have on* U *the equality of functions*

$$\Phi := \Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}(\log \|L\|) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}} \log \det(\psi(\omega_i, \omega_j))_{i,j}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in [EKZ11] and the other sources above. The only thing we need to use is that for higher-dimensional balls, too, the hyperbolic Laplacian is a sum of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial_i \bar\partial_j}$-derivatives and of $\frac{\partial^2}{\bar\partial_i \partial_j}$-derivatives by (3). □

Since both sides of the equation do not depend of the choice of the basis we may consider Φ_k as a function on the whole of B.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We first set up some notations. Let T^1B be the unit tangent bundle, and let $\mathrm{Gr}_k(B)$ be the Lagrangian Grassmannian bundle over B, whose fiber over $x \in B$ consists of the k-dimensional Lagrangian $\mathbb{R}$-vector subspaces of $\mathbb{W}$. Set

$$\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B) = T^1B \times_B \mathrm{Gr}_k(B).$$

The Lagrangian Grassmannian is a homogeneous space, thus it carries a natural measure γ. The bundle measure $\mu_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)}$ is then the product measure of γ and the measure μ_{T^1B} on the base. We assume the measure γ, and the measure on the fiber of T^1B to be normalized to have area 1. Denote again $G_t : \mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B) \to \mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)$ the lift of the geodesic flow $g_t : T^1B \to T^1B$, and let $u : \mathbb{B}^n \to B$ be the universal covering map. If $\vartheta \in T^1B$, then we denote the coordinate on the base by $x(\vartheta) \in B$, and a lift to the universal cover by $\widetilde{x}(\vartheta)$.

The core of the proof is the following chain of equalities. After averaging over the whole space, we introduce another average over the unit tangent space at each point. Then we interchange the integral and the limit in line; this is possible, since the logarithmic derivative of the Hodge norm is bounded above by Lemma 2.4. Next, in order to apply Lemma 2.2, we pass to the universal cover. Then we use Lemma 3.4 to get rid of the dependence on L. Then we interchange once more limit and integral. Next, we go back to B and decompose the fiber bundle $T^{\|\cdot\|\leq t}B$ along the fibers of

$$\exp : T^{\|\cdot\|\leq t}B \to B$$

The preimage of $z \in B$ under this map has volume equal to the one of $\mathbb{B}^n_t(\widetilde{z})$, where $\widetilde{z}$ is a lift of z to $\mathbb{B}^n$, since

$$\begin{aligned}\exp^{-1}(z) &= \{\vartheta \in T^{\|\cdot\|\leq t}B \mid \exp(\vartheta) = z\}\\ &= \{\widetilde{\vartheta} \in T^{\|\cdot\|\leq t}\mathbb{B}^n \mid \exp(\widetilde{\vartheta}) = \widetilde{z}\}\\ &= \bigcup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\ \bigcup_{d_{\mathrm{hyp}}(\widetilde{z},y)=\tau} \{y\}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the factor

$$c_1(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{2n}\tanh\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n_t)}$$

will drop out once we apply Lemma 2.2. In the last line we use that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n_t)\cdot c_1(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\kappa}{n}\cdot\frac{\log(\cosh(\frac{T}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}))}{T} \to \frac{\kappa}{n\cdot\sqrt{2\kappa}}$$

as $T \to \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(B)\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i &= \int_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)} \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log\|G_t(\vartheta,L)\|\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)}(\vartheta,L)\\ &= \int_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}}\int_{T^1_{x(\vartheta)}B}\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log\|G_t(\theta,L)\|\\ &\qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\sigma(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)}(\vartheta,L)\\ &= \int_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)} \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{T^1_{x(\vartheta)}B}\log\|G_t(\theta,L)\|\\ &\qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}\sigma(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)}((x,\vartheta),L)\\ &= \int_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)} \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{T^1_{\widetilde{x}(\vartheta)}\mathbb{B}^n}\log\|G_t(u(\widetilde{\theta}),L)\|\\ &\qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}\sigma(\widetilde{\theta})\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)}(\vartheta,L)\\ &= \int_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)} \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\int_0^t\int_{T^1_{\widetilde{x}(\vartheta)}\mathbb{B}^n}\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}\log\|G_\tau(u(\widetilde{\theta}),L)\|\\ &\qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}\sigma(\widetilde{\theta})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{Gr}_k(T^1B)}(\vartheta,L)\\ &= \int_B \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\int_0^t\int_{T^1_{\widetilde{x}}\mathbb{B}^n} -\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}\log\det(\psi(\omega_i,\omega_j))_{i,j}(u(\exp_{\widetilde{x}}(\tau\widetilde{\theta})))\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}\sigma(\widetilde{\theta})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(x)\\
&= \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\int_B\int_0^t\int_{T^1_{\widetilde{x}}\mathbb{B}^n} -\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}\log\det(\psi(\omega_i,\omega_j))_{i,j}(u(\exp_{\widetilde{x}}(\tau\widetilde{\theta})))\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \mathrm{d}\sigma(\widetilde{\theta})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(x)\,\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\int_{T^{\|\cdot\|\le t}B}\Phi(\exp_{x(v)}(v))\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{T^{\|\cdot\|\le t}B}(v)\,\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\int_B\int_{\exp^{-1}(z)}\Phi(z)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\exp^{-1}(z)}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(z)\,\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \int_B\Phi(z)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(z)\cdot\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\int_{\exp^{-1}(z)}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\exp^{-1}(z)}\,\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \int_B\Phi(z)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(z)\cdot\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T c_1(t)\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n_t)\,\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \int_B\frac{\kappa}{n\sqrt{2\kappa}}\Phi(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The measure $\mathrm{d}\mu_B$ is given by integrating against the volume form dvol_B, which is the image of $\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n}$ via the universal cover $u:\mathbb{B}^n\to B$. Choose a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathbb{B}^n$ for the action of Γ. Let $F:B\to\mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$F=\log\det(\psi(\omega_i,\omega_j))_{i,j}.$$

Then Lemma 2.1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\kappa}{n\sqrt{2\kappa}}\int_B\Phi(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_B(x) &= \frac{\kappa}{n\sqrt{2\kappa}}\int_{\mathcal{F}}-\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}(F\circ u)\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n}\\
&= -\frac{\kappa}{2n\sqrt{2\kappa}}l\int_{\mathcal{F}}\partial\bar{\partial}(F\circ u)\wedge\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

A ball quotient B is a Kähler-Einstein manifold, therefore the first Chern class and the Kähler class are proportional. Moreover, as was remarked by B. Hunt [Hun00, Lemma 1.8], the Kähler-Einstein metric also computes the logarithmic Chern class in case B is not compact. The proportionality constant is determined from the holomorphic section curvature. By [Huy05, p. 223],

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})=[-1/(2\pi)\cdot\mathrm{Ric}(B,g_{\mathrm{hyp}})]$$

and by [KN96, p. 168]

$$\mathrm{Ric}(B,g_{\mathrm{hyp}})=\tfrac{1}{2}(n+1)k_0\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}},$$

where $k_0=\frac{-2}{\kappa}$ is the holomorphic sectional curvature. Thus,

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})=\frac{(n+1)}{2\pi\kappa}[\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}].$$

As the metric on $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ is good in the sense of Mumford (by Schmid's $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-orbit theorem, e.g. [CKS86, Theorem 5.21]), it computes the first Chern class of the extension of $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ to $\overline{B}$. So

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{W}^{1,0})=\tfrac{i}{2\pi}[\Theta(\wedge^k\mathbb{W}^{1,0})],$$

where the curvature $\Theta(\wedge^k \mathbb{W}^{1,0})$ is given by $-\partial\bar{\partial}F$. Altogether we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{vol}(B)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\cdot\frac{\kappa}{2n}l\cdot\frac{2\pi}{i}\cdot\left(\frac{2\pi\kappa}{(n+1)}\right)^{n-1}\cdot \mathrm{c}_1(\mathbb{W}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^{n-1}[B]\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\cdot\frac{(2\pi\kappa)^n}{n!(n+1)^{n-1}}\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathbb{W}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^{n-1}[B]\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$[\mathrm{dvol}_B] = \frac{1}{n!}[\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}] = \frac{(2\pi\kappa)^n}{n!(n+1)^n}\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_{\overline{B}})^n.$$

This finishes the proof. □

4. ARITHMETICITY

Recall that a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ is called *arithmetic*, if Γ is commensurable to $\phi(G_{\mathbb{Z}})$, the image of the integral points in some matrix group $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, admitting a continuous surjective homomorphism $\phi : G_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ with compact kernel. Construction of *non-arithmetic* lattices in $\mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ is a long-standing challenge, while there are several techniques to construct arithmetic lattices. Also from the point of view of Lyapunov exponents the arithmetic examples are boring.

Let s be the number of irreducible uniformizing sub-VHS $\mathbb{W}_i$ of a VHS $\mathbb{P}$. Note that these $\mathbb{W}_i$ are real if and only if $n = 1$. We say that the Lyapunov spectrum is *maximally degenerate*, if it contains $2s$ times the Lyapunov exponent 1 for $n > 1$ (resp. s times for $n = 1$) and all the other exponents are zero.

Proposition 4.1. *If Γ is arithmetic, then the primitive Lyapunov spectrum is maximally degenerate.*

Proof. If Γ is arithmetic and $\mathbb{W}$ is uniformizing, then all the conjugates of $\mathbb{W}$ are either unitary or uniformizing. In both cases, the Lyapunov spectrum is determined by Theorem 1.1 i) and iii) and this is precisely the content of the notion maximally degenerate. □

We remark that the converse of the preceding proposition is an interesting problem. Suppose the VHS $\mathbb{V}$ over some ball quotient $B = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma$ is maximally degenerate. If we knew that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contained a sub-VHS $\mathbb{W}$ of signature $(n,1)$, then maximally degenerate implies that this $\mathbb{W}$ reaches the Arakelov bound, so we could conclude as in [VZ07] or [MVZ11]. The missing step is thus the question whether there exists at all such a sub-VHS.

5. COMMENSURABILITY INVARIANTS

The *trace field* of a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ is defined to be $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)), \gamma \in \Gamma)$, where Ad is the adjoint representation. The trace field is an invariant of the commensurability class of Γ (e.g. [DM86, Proposition 12.2.1]).

The aim of this section is to show that, among lattices $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ that admit a modular embedding, the primitive Lyapunov spectrum is also a commensurability invariant. By the preceding section, we may focus in the sequel to non-arithmetic lattices.

Suppose that Γ is defined over a number field F, that we suppose to be Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ the Galois conjugate group Γ^σ fixes a hermitian form of signature $(p(\sigma), q(\sigma))$, where we assume that $p(\sigma) \geq q(\sigma)$. This signature is uniquely determine by (Γ and) σ by the Lemma 5.1 below. Then Γ is said to have a *modular embedding* if for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ there is a holomorphic map $\varphi^\sigma : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}_{p(\sigma),q(\sigma))}$ to the symmetric space of $\mathrm{PU}(p(\sigma), q(\sigma))$ that is equivariant with respect to the action of Γ on the domain and Γ^σ on the range of φ^σ. If $p(\sigma) = 0$ or $q(\sigma) = 0$, then $\mathbb{B}_{p(\sigma),q(\sigma))}$ is a point and the constant map trivially does the job. This notion of modular embedding is essentially the same as in [CW93].

Lemma 5.1. *Let Γ and σ be as above. Then Γ^σ preserves a hermitian form for at most one signature $(p(\sigma), q(\sigma))$ with $p(\sigma) \geq q(\sigma)$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary holds. Then the Zariski closure of Γ^σ is contained in the intersection of two special unitary groups $\mathrm{PU}(p_1, q_1)$ and $\mathrm{PU}(p_2, q_2)$ with $p_i + q_i = n + 1$, $p_i \leq q_i$ but $p_1 \neq q_1$. These two groups have both the (real) dimension $(n + 1)^2 - 1$ and since they are not equal, their intersection has strictly smaller dimension. The dimension of the Zariski closure is invariant under Galois conjugation and the Zariski closure of Γ is all of $\mathrm{PU}(1, n)$, hence of dimension $(n + 1)^2 - 1$. This is a contradiction. □

In the situation considered everywhere in the sequel, the existence of a modular embedding is no obstruction thanks to the following proposition and remark, but in general the existence of modular embeddings is a hard problem, even for one-dimensional ball quotients, i.e. for Fuchsian groups.

Proposition 5.2. *If Γ arises from a family of curves as in Theorem 1.1 whose VHS contains a uniformizing sub-VHS $\mathbb{W}$, the Γ admits a modular embedding.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{V}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, all the groups Γ^σ arise as monodromy of a Galois conjugates local system $\mathbb{W}^\sigma$. The period map φ^σ for this local system has the required properties. □

Remark. Besides the cyclic coverings studied below there are several techniques to construct lattices in $\mathrm{PU}(1, n)$, notably the original construction using complex reflection groups by Mostow ([Mos80]). By the work of Sauter ([Sau90]) and Deligne-Mostow ([DM93]) all the presently known non-arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{PU}(1, n)$ turn out to be commensurable to lattices arising from cyclic coverings. See [Par09] for a recent survey on commensurability results.

Commensurability invariance for the sum of Lyapunov exponents given a VHS is obvious.

Proposition 5.3. *In the situation of Theorem 1.1, passing from Γ to a subgroup Γ' of finite index and to the pullback VHS does not change the Lyapunov spectrum.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{B}$ be a fundamental domain for Γ and $\mathcal{F}' \supset \mathcal{F}$ be a fundamental domain for Γ'. Given a starting point $x \in M = T_1\mathbb{B}$, for all times t such that $g_t(x)$ is Γ'-equivalent to a point in $\mathcal{F}$, the quantity $\frac{1}{t} \log \|g_t(v)\|$ appearing on the right of (7) is the same for both situations. Since the geodesic flow is ergodic, this set of times is cofinal and thus the limit in (7) is the same in both situations. □

We could equivalently also argue that the quantity on the right hand side of (1) does not change under passage to a subgroup of finite index.

The crucial step to show that the Lyapunov spectrum is a commensurability invariant among *abstract* lattices admitting a modular embedding, is to show that the latter is uniquely determined by the lattice.

Theorem 5.4. *If* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ *is a lattice, then there is at most one map* $\varphi^\sigma : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}_{p(\sigma),q(\sigma))}$ *equivariant with respect to* Γ *and* Γ^σ *on domain and range.*

In particular, if Γ_1 *and* Γ_2 *are commensurable and admit both admit a modular embedding, the the primitive Lyapunov spectrum of* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2 *coincide.*

Proof. Suppose φ_1^σ and φ_2^σ are two such maps. We consider the Harish-Chandra realization of $\mathbb{B}_{p(\sigma),q(\sigma)}$ as a bounded symmetric domain. Then all component functions f_i of the difference $\varphi_1^\sigma - \varphi_2^\sigma$ are bounded holomorphic functions. Moreover, choosing base points appropriately, the equivariance implies that $f_i(\gamma(0)) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since Γ is a lattice, in particular it is of divergence type, and hence the set points of approximation in $\partial\mathbb{B}$ (those that can be approximated by a sequence in $\Gamma\cdot 0$ that stays in a angular sector D_α) is of full Lebesgue measure, see e.g. [Shi04, Section 2] for this and the subsequent argument. The extension of f_i to $\partial\mathbb{B}$ as the limit within any fixed angular sector D_α is well-defined for a bounded holomorphic function. By the preceding arguments this extension is zero on a subset of $\partial\mathbb{B}$ of full Lebesgue measure. By [Rud80, Theorem 5.6.4], the functions f_i are thus zero and hence $\varphi_1^\sigma = \varphi_2^\sigma$.

The second statement is a consequence of the first and of Proposition 5.3. □

6. Cyclic covers

The section contains well-known general material that is used as preparation for the next section. We start with generalities on cyclic coverings and then recall which cyclic coverings give rise to a structure of a ball quotient on the parameter space.

6.1. The parameter space for cyclic coverings.

Let $N \geq 3$ and let $B_0 = \mathcal{M}_{0,N}$ denote the moduli space (or configuration space) of N ordered points on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Explicitly, if we let

$$M_0 = \big\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^N \mid \forall i,j \in \{1,\dots,N\} : x_i \neq x_j\big\}$$

then $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts diagonally on M_0 and

$$B_0 = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})\backslash M_0.$$

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be distinct and consider the space of normalized tuples

$$\big\{x \in M_0 \mid x_1 = a, x_{N-1} = b, x_N = c\big\}.$$

We may identify B_0 with this set. A point in B_0 will be denoted by $\underline{x}$; we will often choose a convenient representative by fixing three coordinates.

6.1.1. The type of a cyclic covering.

A tuple $(d; a_1, \dots, a_N)$ of natural numbers is called a *type of a cyclic covering* if

$$d \geq 2, \quad 0 < a_i < d, \quad \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_N, d) = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \in d\mathbb{Z}. \tag{10}$$

A cyclic covering of type $(d; a_1, \dots, a_N)$ branched at $\underline{x} \in B_0$ is the complete, non-singular curve X with affine equation

$$y^d = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (x - x_i)^{a_i}.$$

Its genus is given by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$g(X) = \frac{N-2}{2}d + 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gcd(a_i, d). \tag{11}$$

By varying $\underline{x} \in B_0$ we obtain a family of cyclic covers of the same type

$$f : \mathcal{X} \to B_0.$$

Since this family is locally topologically trivial, the sheaf $R^1 f_*(\mathbb{C})$ is a local system on B_0.

6.1.2. **Stable and semi-stable points, cusps.** We enlarge B_0 by adding divisors in the boundary that parametrize stable points (compare [DM86, Section 4]) and this enlarged space will have the structure of a ball quotient. Sometimes the ball quotient is not yet compact and we add some boundary points, called cusps, that parametrize classes of semi-stable points in M.

For a fixed $\mu = (\mu_i)_i^N \in (\mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1))^N$ such that $\sum_i \mu_i = 2$, we call $x \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^N$ a μ-stable (resp. μ-semi-stable) point, if for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$

$$\sum_{i:x_i=z} \mu_i < 1 \qquad (\text{resp. } \sum_{i:x_i=z} \mu_i \leq 1).$$

Define $M = M^\mu \supset M_0$ to be the set of μ-stable points and let

$$B = B^\mu = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})\backslash M.$$

Then B is a complex manifold containing B_0 as an open subspace.

Next let $\overline{M} = \overline{M}^\mu \supset M_\mu$ to be the set of μ-semi-stable points. If $x \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^N$ is strictly semi-stable, then there is a partition of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ into two sets S_1, S_2, such that $\sum_{k \in S_j} \mu_k = 1$ for $k = 1, 2$. The classes of semi-stable points having the same partition (S_1, S_2) are called *cusps* and we define $\overline{B}$ to be the union of B and the cusps. The set $\overline{B}$ can be given the structure of a compact Hausdorff topological space, see [DM86].

Let $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$ be a two-element subset such that $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$. Define the *elliptic divisor* $L_{ij} = L_{ij}^\mu$ as the image of

$$\{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in M \mid x_i = x_j\}$$

under the projection modulo $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The interior L_{ij}° of L_{ij} is defined as the subset of L_{ij} where precisely two coordinates are equal.

6.2. **Cyclic coverings uniformized by the ball.** For a cyclic covering of type $(d; a_1, \ldots, a_N)$, define $\mu_i = a_i/d$. The following condition on the μ_i was the first criterion that provided a general construction of ball quotients.

$$\text{(INT)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i = 2, \qquad \text{and} \quad \text{for all } i \neq j \text{ with } \mu_i + \mu_j < 1,\ (1 - \mu_i - \mu_j)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem 6.1 ([DM86])**.** *If μ satisfies* (INT) *then B is a ball quotient.*

One can relax the condition (INT) in order to be more flexible and to obtain more ball quotients. We say that the tuple of μ satisfies (ΣINT), if there is $S \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that the following conditions hold.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mu_i = 2, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_i=\mu_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i,j\in S \quad \text{and moreover}\\ &\text{for } i\neq j \text{ with } \mu_i+\mu_j<1: (1-\mu_i-\mu_j)^{-1} \in \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} & i,j\in S\\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{ΣINT}$$

Let $\Sigma = \mathrm{Sym}(S)$ act on B by permuting coordinates.

Theorem 6.2 ([Mos86]). *If μ satisfies* (ΣINT) *then B/Σ is a ball quotient.*

6.3. **The non-arithmetic examples.** In the following table we reproduce (and number) the non-arithmetic examples of two-dimensional ball quotients of [DM86] and [Mos86], according to the corrected table in [Mos88]. Note that example no. 5 in loc. cit. has a misprint concerning the a_i.

	d	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5			L_{ij}^{par}	g	comm. to
1	12	3	3	3	7	8	INT	B_9	$--$	12	4
2	12	3	3	5	6	7	INT	B_9	L_{35}	12	
3	12	4	4	4	5	7	INT	B_{10}	L_{45}	12	
4	12	4	4	5	5	6	INT	B_{10}	$--$	11	1
5	15	4	6	6	6	8	INT	B_{10}	$--$	18	13
6	18	2	7	7	7	13	ΣINT	B_7/Σ_3	$--$	25	7
7	18	7	7	7	7	8	ΣINT	B_{10}/Σ_4	$--$	25	6
8	20	5	5	5	11	14	INT	B_9	$--$	22	9
9	20	6	6	9	9	10	ΣINT	B_{10}/Σ_2	$--$	23	8
10	20	6	6	6	9	13	ΣINT	B_9/Σ_3	$--$	27	
11	24	4	4	4	17	19	ΣINT	B_9/Σ_3	$--$	30	12
12	24	7	9	9	9	14	INT	B_{10}	$--$	31	11
13	30	5	5	5	22	23	ΣINT	B_9/Σ_3	$--$	37	5
14	42	7	7	7	29	34	ΣINT	B_9/Σ_3	$--$	53	15
15	42	13	15	15	15	26	ΣINT	B_{10}/Σ_3	$--$	58	14

The trace fields of these lattices are $\mathbb{Q}[\cos(2\pi/d)]$. They are distinct if and only if the d are distinct with the exception that $d = 15$ and $d = 30$ produce the same trace field (and indeed commensurable lattices). The commensurability results of Sauter and Deligne-Mostow (see [Par09, Section 3]) among these lattices are indicated in the last column.

In dimension three, there is a unique commensurability class of a non-arithmetic ball quotient known, given by the cyclic covering of type $(12; 7, 5, 3, 3, 3, 3)$.

6.4. **Realizations of the compactification and their intersection rings.** For technical reasons we will need the boundary of the ball quotients to be a normal crossing divisor. We will thus blow up the cusps and in the blowup $B^{\mathrm{nc}} \to \overline{B}$ the preimage of the cusp is now a divisor, called boundary divisor. In the two-dimensional case, each of these divisors is the image modulo $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of the set of all semi-stable points with $x_i = x_j$ for some i, j. We will write L_{ij}^{par} to distinguish these divisor from elliptic divisors which are also some L_{ij}, but the monodromy is elliptic, as opposed to parabolic in the case of boundary divisors.

6.4.1. **Dimension two, case (INT).** We start with two-dimensional ball quotients satisfying (INT). In all the cases we will be interested in B^{nc} will contain all the 10 boundary divisors L_{ij} or 9 out of the 10.

We call the first case B_{10}. In this case $L_{ij} \cap L_{kl} = 1$ if $\{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset$ and $L_{ij} \cap L_{kl} = 0$ other wise. The variety B_{10} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up at 4 points in general position. Therefore, $\mathrm{CH}_1(B_{10})$ is generated by

$$h, e_1, \ldots, e_4$$

where h is the pullback of the hyperplane class in $\mathrm{CH}_1(\mathbb{P}^2)$, and $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ are the classes of the four exceptional divisors. The ten lines L_{ij} are given by the strict transforms of the six lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ connecting the four points, and by the four exceptional divisors. The classes of the lines can be expressed in the generators (up to renumbering) as

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_{ij}] = h - e_k - e_m \quad \text{where} \quad \{i,j,k,m\} = \{1,\ldots,4\} \\ &[L_{i5}] = e_i. \end{aligned}$$

The intersection matrix with respect to the ordering h, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 is

$$\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1),$$

and the canonical class is $K_{B_{10}} = -3h + e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4$.

We call the second case B_9 and we may choose indices so that $B_{10} \to B_9$ contracts L_{45}. Said differently, B_9 can be obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^2$ at three points in general position. Now, $\mathrm{CH}_1(B_9) = \langle h, e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_{12}] = h - e_3, \quad [L_{13}] = h - e_2, \quad [L_{23}] = h - e_1 \\ &[L_{i4}] = h - e_k - e_m \quad \text{where} \quad \{i,k,m\} = \{1,2,3\} \\ &[L_{i5}] = e_i. \qquad\qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1,2,3 \end{aligned}$$

The intersection pairing has matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ and the canonical class is $K_{B_9} = -3h + e_1 + e_2 + e_3$.

6.4.2. **Dimension two, case (ΣINT).** We will also need to work with the quotient of B by a symmetric group Σ. Let $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 5\}$, and let $\Sigma = \mathrm{Sym}(S)$ act on B by permuting the coordinates. The Chow ring $\mathrm{CH}_*(B/\Sigma)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{CH}_*(B)_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\Sigma}$, and the ring structure is given by

$$(D_1.D_2) = 1/|\Sigma| \cdot \eta_*(\eta^* D_1.\eta^* D_2)$$

where $\eta : B \to B/\Sigma$ denotes the quotient map. Note that the pullback of a divisor is the sum of the irreducible components of the preimage, each weighted with the order of its stabilizer. Moreover, by [Ful84, 17.4.10], the ring structure is independent of the presentation of B/Σ as a quotient by a finite group.

We only present the cases of B and Σ that are actually needed in the subsequent computations. The case of a permutation group of 4 elements appearing in line 7 of the above table will not be treated, since it is covered by the case in line 6 and the commensurability Theorem 5.4.

The case B_9/Σ_3. Let $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and consider the action of $\Sigma_3 = \mathrm{Sym}(S)$ on $B = B_9$. The map η is ramified of order 2 along the divisors L_{12}, L_{23} and L_{13}. The group $\mathrm{CH}_1(B_9/\Sigma_3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is generated by the images $[\overline{L}_{14}]$ and $[\overline{L}_{15}], [\overline{L}_{12}]$ of the boundary divisors and

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^*[\overline{L}_{14}] &= [L_{14}] + [L_{24}] + [L_{34}],\\
\eta^*[\overline{L}_{15}] &= [L_{15}] + [L_{25}] + [L_{35}],\\
\eta^*[\overline{L}_{12}] &= 2([L_{12}] + [L_{23}] + [L_{13}]).
\end{aligned}$$

The intersection matrix with respect to this system of generators is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1/2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Riemann-Hurwitz,

$$\eta^* K_{B_9/\Sigma_3} = K_{B_9} - ([L_{12}] + [L_{23}] + [L_{13}]).$$

By intersecting with all generators in $\mathrm{CH}_1(B_9/\Sigma_3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we obtain

$$K_{B_9/\Sigma_3} = -[\overline{L}_{12}].$$

The case B_7/Σ_3. Again, let $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and let B_7 be the space obtained from B_10 by collapsing all L_{i5}, $i = 1,\ldots,3$. This is the projective plane blown up at one point. Let again h denote the hyperplane class and let e denote the class of the exceptional divisor. Then the classes of the boundary divisors are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L_{i4}] = h, \quad i = 1,2,3\\
&[L_{12}] = [L_{23}] = [L_{13}] = h - e\\
&[L_{45}] = e
\end{aligned}$$

The Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_1(B_7/\Sigma_3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is generated by $[\overline{L}_{12}], [\overline{L}_{14}]$ and $[\overline{L}_{45}]$, and

$$\eta^*[\overline{L}_{12}] = 2([L_{12}]+[L_{23}]+[L_{13}]), \quad \eta^*[\overline{L}_{14}] = [L_{14}]+[L_{24}]+[L_{34}], \quad \eta^*[\overline{L}_{45}] = [L_{45}].$$

The respective matrix of the intersection product is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 3/2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1/6 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the canonical class is given by

$$K_{B_7/\Sigma_3} = -\tfrac{2}{3}([\overline{L}_{12}] + [\overline{L}_{14}]).$$

6.4.3. **Dimension three.** In order to compute the intersection ring of the moduli space B_{14} (we keep indexing by the number of boundary divisors of stable configurations) of the three-dimensional ball quotient we use the formalism of weighted stable curves of Hassett ([Has03]). In his language, we are interested in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0;(\frac{7}{12},\frac{5}{12},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})}$. The space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0;(1,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0;(1,\frac{2}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})}$ is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^3$ corresponding to curves where the points with labels $I_3 = \{2,4,5,6\}$, $I_4 = \{2,3,5,6\}$, $I_5 = \{2,3,4,6\}$ reps. $I_6 = \{2,3,4,5\}$ come together. Reducing the weights from $(1,\frac{2}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})$ to $(1,\frac{5}{12},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})$ and further to $(\frac{7}{12},\frac{5}{12},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})$ is an isomorphism, as can be checked using the criterion in [Has03, Section 4.2].

Consequently, we are interested in a projective space $\mathbb{P}^3$, blown up at three points P_i corresponding to the set of indices I_i, $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$.

We deduce from [Ful84, Proposition 6.7 (e)] that $\mathrm{Pic}(B_{14})$ is freely generated by the pullback h of the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{P}^3$ and the classes of the exceptional divisors e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6. Moreover, $\mathrm{CH}_1(B_{14})$ is generated by the pullback of a line ℓ from $\mathbb{P}^3$ and lines ℓ_i on the exceptional divisors. The ring structure of the chow ring is given by $h \cdot h = \ell$, $e_i \cdot e_i = (-1) \cdot \ell_1$ and $h \cdot \ell = 1$, $e_i \cdot l_i = 1$ as well as zero for all intersections not listed above.

If we normalize $x_1 = \infty$ and let $z_i = x_2 - x_i$, then $(z_3 : z_4 : z_5 : z_6)$ is indeed a coordinate system, in which $P_3 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ etc. and the semi-stable point is $P_{12} = (1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$. In these coordinates, one checks that

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{1j} = e_j, \quad L_{2j} = h - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 + e_j, \quad j = 3, 4, 5, 6. \\ &L_{jk} = h - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 + e_j + e_j \quad 3 \leq j < k \leq 6 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Finally, the formula for the behaviour of the canonical class yields

$$K_{B_{14}} = -4h + 2e_3 + 2e_4 + 2e_5 + 2e_6. \tag{13}$$

6.5. **Decomposition of the VHS for cyclic coverings.** Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(X/\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(d)$ be the Galois group of a cyclic cover of type $(d; a_1, \ldots, a_N)$. Fix a d-th root of unity ζ, the generator g of G given by

$$g : x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto \zeta y$$

and let $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character of G defined by $\chi(g) = \zeta^{-1}$. As G acts on $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$, we can decompose this space into eigenspaces

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)_{\chi^k}.$$

Since the action of G respects the Hodge decomposition $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) = H^{1,0} \oplus H^{0,1}$, we also have a decomposition of $H^{1,0}$ and $H^{0,1}$.

The eigenspace decomposition carries over to the relative situation. We have

$$R^1 f_* \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{L}_k.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B_0}$$

be the subbundle of holomorphic forms, and let

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{0,1} = (\mathbb{L}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B_0})/\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0}.$$

The Chevalley-Weil formula gives information about the dimensions of the eigenspaces. Define for each $k = 1, \ldots, d$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(k) &= \left\langle \frac{k \cdot a_i}{d} \right\rangle, \qquad \mu(k) = (\mu_i(k))_i \\ a_i(k) &= \mu_i(k) \cdot d \\ \sigma(k) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i(k) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\langle x \rangle = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, let $s(k) \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ be the number of a_i that are not equal to 0 modulo $d/\gcd(k, d)$.

The following lemma is proved in [Bou05, Lemma 1.1.2] with our index k replaced by $n-k$.

Lemma 6.3. *With our notations,*

a) The rank of $\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0}$ *is* $\sigma(k)-1$*, and the rank of* $\mathcal{L}_k^{0,1}$ *is* $s(k)-1-\sigma(k)$*.*

b) $\mathbb{L}_k$ *is a* $\mathbb{C}$*-local system of dimension* $s(k)-2$*, polarized by a hermitian form of signature* $(\sigma(k)-1, s(k)-1-\sigma(k))$*.*

c) When k *is prime to* d*, an explicit basis of* $\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0}$ *is given by*

$$\omega_k^l = y^{-k}x^l f_k \,\mathrm{d}x$$

with $f_k = \prod_j (x-x_j)^{[\frac{ka_j}{d}]}$ *where* $l = 0, \ldots, \sigma(k)-2$*.*

6.6. **The period map.** For each $k = 1, \ldots, d-1$, where $\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0}$ is not trivial, we obtain a period map $\hat{B}_0 \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$ from the universal covering $\hat{B}_0$ of B_0 to the period domain of the VHS $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$. It is equivariant with respect to the action of $\pi_1(B_0)$ on $\hat{B}_0$ and on $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$ via the monodromy representation $\rho_k : \pi_1(B_0, \underline{x}') \to \mathrm{Aut}((\mathbb{L}_k)_{\underline{x}'})$. Let $K_k = \ker(\rho_k)$, and let $\widetilde{B}_0^k = \hat{B}_0/K_k$. Denote $p_k : \widetilde{B}_0^k \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$ the resulting period map.

The map p_k can be though of as a multi-valued map on B_0. For $\underline{x} \in B_0$ choose a path connecting $\underline{x}$ to $\underline{x}'$. Via this path, the fibers of $R^1 f_*\mathbb{C}$ above $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{x}'$ can be canonically identified. Moreover,

$$(R^1 f_*\mathbb{C})_{\underline{x}'} \cong H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}'}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}'}, \mathbb{C})$$

Hence, the inclusion

$$H^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}}) \to H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}'}, \mathbb{C})$$

is given by integration $\omega \mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega)$. Since this inclusion is equivariant with respect to the G-action, it induces an inclusion

$$(\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0})_{\underline{x}} \cong H^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}})_{\chi^k} \to H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}'}, \mathbb{C})_{\chi^k} \cong (\mathbb{L}_k)_{\underline{x}'}$$

and p_k maps $\underline{x}$ to the point in $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$ defined by the image of this morphism. Note that this map does not depend on the chosen path as any two differ by an element of K_k.

Assume for the rest of Section 6.6 that $\sum_i \mu_i(k) = 2$ and $\mu_i(k) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all i. Then $\mathcal{L}_k^{1,0}$ is a line bundle with a global non-zero section $\omega_k(\underline{x}) = \omega_k^0(\underline{x})$ and $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$ is a ball in a projective space of dimension $n = N-3$.

Lemma 6.4 ([DM86, Lemma 3.9])**.** *Let* $N \geq 4$*. The (multi-valued) period map*

$$p_k : B_0 \to \mathbb{P}(H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}'}, \mathbb{C})_{\chi^k}), \qquad \underline{x} \mapsto \left(\gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega_k(\underline{x})\right)$$

has injective differential for every $\underline{x} \in B_0$*. It is given by the map*

$$(\mathcal{T}B_0)_{\underline{x}} \to \mathrm{Hom}(H^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}})_{\chi^k}, \ \ H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}}, \mathbb{C})_{\chi^k}/H^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}})_{\chi^k})$$

mapping $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ *to*

$$(\gamma \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\int_\gamma \omega_k = \int_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\omega_k)$$

This implies that p_k is a local isomorphism on $\widetilde{B}_0^k$, since the dimensions of the range and image agree.

6.6.1. **Extending the period map.** As in [DM86], we consider the Fox completions $\widetilde{B}^k$ of $\widetilde{B}^k_0$ over $B^k = B^{\mu(k)}$ and $\widetilde{B}^k_{sst}$ over $\overline{B}^k = \overline{B}^{\mu(k)}$. The period map p_k has continuous extensions, also denoted p_k, to $\widetilde{B}^k$ and to $\widetilde{B}^k_{sst}$ ([DM86, Sect. 8]), both of which are equivariant for the monodromy action of $\pi_1(B_0)$.

If there is additional symmetry in the tuple $(\mu_i(k))_i$, then the above discussion carries over to a quotient (see [Mos86]). Let $S \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$, and assume $\mu_i(k) = \mu_j(k)$ for all $i, j \in S$. The group $\Sigma = \mathrm{Sym}(S)$ acts on B_0, and there is an open, dense submanifold F where the action is free. The VHS on B_0 descend to a VHS $\mathbb{L}_{k,\Sigma}$ on the quotient F/Σ, and we obtain a period map $\widehat{F/\Sigma} \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$ from the universal covering of F/Σ to the period domain of $\mathbb{L}_k$, which is equivariant for the monodromy action $\rho_{k,\Sigma}$ of $\pi_1(F/\Sigma)$. Set

$$K_{k,\Sigma} = \ker(\rho_{k,\Sigma}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{F/\Sigma} = \widehat{F/\Sigma}/K_{k,\Sigma},$$

and let $p_{k,\Sigma}$ denote the induced map

$$p_{k,\Sigma} : \widetilde{B}^k_\Sigma \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$$

from the Fox completion of $\widetilde{F/\Sigma}$ over B^k/Σ to the period domain of $\mathbb{L}_k$.

Remark. Assume that $\mu(k)$ satisfies (INT), then p_k is an isomorphism from $\widetilde{B}^k$ onto a complex ball, and by virtue of this, B^k is a ball quotient. If $\mu(k)$ satisfies (ΣINT), the same is true for the induced map $p_{k,\Sigma}$.

6.6.2. **Relations between period maps.** Note that so far the period map and even its domain of definition depend on k. It may so happen that p_1 is an isomorphism from $\widetilde{B}$ to a complex ball, while p_k is not even defined there. If however $\mathbb{L}_k$ belongs to the primitive part, defined by $\mathbb{L}_1$, this cannot be the case. More precisely,

Remark 6.5. Assume that $(\mu_i(1))_i$ satisfies (ΣINT) for some $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\}$ (possibly containing only one element, so as to include (INT)). Further, choose $k \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ prime to d. Then $\mathbb{L}_k$ is a Galois conjugate of $\mathbb{L}_1$, whence $K_k = K_1$. By the universal property of the Fox completion we obtain a map

$$\mathbb{B}^n \cong \widetilde{B}^1_\Sigma \to \widetilde{B}^k_\Sigma.$$

Postcomposing with p_k now furnishes a holomorphic map $\mathbb{B}^n \to \mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{L}_k)$, which by abuse of notation, will still be called p_k.

For the non-primitive part however, the domains of definition of p_1 and p_k need not be related in any way, as the following example shows.

Example 6.6. Consider the family of cyclic coverings $f : \mathcal{X} \to B_0$ of type $(12; 3, 3, 3, 7, 8)$. This family of curves is fiberwise a degree 2-covering of a family of cyclic coverings $f' : \mathcal{X}' \to B_0$ of type $(6; 3, 3, 3, 1, 2)$ by taking the quotient by g^2, and the resulting map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ induces an inclusion of VHS with image

$$\bigoplus_{i \equiv 0 \bmod 2} \mathbb{L}_i \subset R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}.$$

We neither have $K_2 \subseteq K_1$, nor $K_1 \subseteq K_2$. To see this, consider the monodromy transformation, i.e. the image of a small loop γ_{ij} about one of the divisors L_{ij} under the monodromy representation ρ_k. In case $\sum_i \mu_i(k) = 2$ and $\mu_i(k) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, its order is the denominator of the reduced fraction $|1-\mu_i(k)-\mu_j(k)|^{-1}$ or ∞ if $\mu_i(k)+\mu_j(k)=1$. Thus, L_{12} is a parabolic parabolic divisor for $\mathbb{L}_2$, which is elliptic for $\mathbb{L}_1$, whereas e.g. for L_{15}, the monodromy transformation has order 12 for $k=1$ and order 3 for $k=2$.

In particular, the pullback of the local system $\mathbb{L}_2$ cannot be extended as local system over the preimage of L_{12} in $\mathbb{B}^n$, thus for a generic geodesic, parallel transport is not defined for all times.

For each of the non-arithmetic ball quotients listed in the table in Section 6.3 there exists a sub-VHS for which an analogous statement holds.

For later use, we record a relation between $(\mu_i(1))_i$ and $(\mu_i(k))_i$ for k prime to d. Its proof is a straightforward computation.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $d>1$, $k\in\{0,\dots,d-1\}$ be prime to d, and let $i,j\in\{1,\dots,N\}$. Then*

a) $\mu_i(k)+\mu_j(k)=1$ iff $\mu_i(1)+\mu_j(1)=1$

b) If $\kappa_{ij}=(1-\mu_i(1)-\mu_j(1))^{-1}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}$ or if $a_i=a_j$, $\kappa_{ij}\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and k is odd, then

$$\kappa_{ij}\cdot(1-\mu_i(k)-\mu_j(k))\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

6.6.3. **Explicit description of the period map.** We describe a trivialization of $\mathbb{L}_k$ at $\underline{x}\in U$. Instead of working with $(\mathbb{L}_k)_{\underline{x}}=H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}},\mathbb{C})_{\chi^k}$, we use the isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{x}},\mathbb{C})_{\chi^k}\cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L) \tag{14}$$

where $\{\underline{x}\}$ is the set of points defined by $\underline{x}$ and L is the rank one local system on $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\}$ having local monodromy $\exp(2\pi i\mu_i(k))$ about x_i (see [DM86, Sect. 2] for a discuss of the cohomology of L). Explicitly, $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)$ can be computed using the de Rham complex of C^∞-forms on $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\}$. One can also consider the subcomplex of compactly supported forms, whose cohomology we denote by $H^*_c(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)$.

If none of the $\mu_i(k)$ is an integer, then $H^*_c(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)\cong H^*(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)$ (see [DM86, 2.3]). The Poincaré duality pairing

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)\otimes H^{2-i}_c(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L^\vee)\to\mathbb{C},\quad \alpha\otimes\beta\mapsto\int_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\}}\alpha\wedge\beta$$

allows us to identify the dual of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)$ with the first cohomology of the complex of currents on $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\}$ with values in $L^\vee$. We describe a particular basis of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L^\vee)$ (compare [DM86, 2.5]). Assume $\{1,\dots,N\}=S_1\cup S_2$ is a partition such that $\sum_{i\in S_1}\mu_i(k)\notin\mathbb{Z}$. Embed the union of two trees $T_1\cup T_2$ into $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that the vertices of T_i are $\{x_s\mid s\in S_i\}$, and let γ_j be the oriented edges of $T_1\cup T_2$. Then a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L^\vee)$ is given by the currents of integration along the paths γ_j, $j=1,\dots,N-2$, each tensored with a global section e_j of L restricted to (the interior of) γ_j.

If $\mathcal{L}^{1,0}_k$ is a line bundle, the basis element of Lemma 6.3 is identified by the isomorphism (14) with the multi-valued form

$$\omega_k=\prod_j(x-x_j)^{-\mu_j(k)}\,\mathrm{d}x$$

tensored with an appropriate multi-valued section e of L, so as to produce an honest single-valued section of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},\Omega^1(L))\subset H^1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\underline{x}\},L)$. The period map is then the map

$$p_k = (F_1^k : \cdots : F_{N-2}^k)$$

with

$$F_j^k = \int_{\gamma_j \otimes e_j} \omega_k \otimes e.$$

7. Lyapunov exponents of ball quotients constructed via cyclic coverings

In this section we calculate explicitly the Lyapunov exponents for the all non-arithmetic ball quotients arising from cyclic covers.

Theorem 7.1. *The positive distinct Lyapunov exponents of the primitive part of the two-dimensional non-arithmetic ball quotients arising from cyclic coverings are given in the following table. Here,* $\mathbb{U}$ *denotes the maximal unitary subsystem of* $\mathbb{P}$.

	d	g	$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{U}$	*Positive primitive Lyapunov spectrum*
1	12	12	12	0	$\{1, \ 5/13, \ 0\}$
2	12	12	12	0	$\{1, \ 5/17, \ 0\}$
3	12	12	12	0	$\{1, \ 7/22, \ 0\}$
4	12	11	12	0	$\{1, \ 5/13, \ 0\}$
5	15	18	24	6	$\{1, \ 16/37, \ 7/37, \ 0\}$
6	18	25	18	6	$\{1, \ 5/16, \ 0\}$
7	18	25	18	6	$\{1, \ 5/16, \ 0\}$
8	20	22	24	6	$\{1, \ 4/11, \ 3/11, \ 0\}$
9	20	23	24	6	$\{1, \ 4/11, \ 3/11, \ 0\}$
10	20	27	24	12	$\{1, \ 11/46, \ 0\}$
11	24	30	24	12	$\{1, \ 7/22, \ 0\}$
12	24	31	24	12	$\{1, \ 7/22, \ 0\}$
13	30	37	24	6	$\{1, \ 16/37, \ 7/37, \ 0\}$
14	42	52	36	18	$\{1, \ 16/61, \ 13/61, \ 0\}$
15	42	58	36	18	$\{1, \ 16/61, \ 13/61, \ 0\}$

For the three-dimensional ball quotient, the positive primitive Lyapunov spectrum is given by

$$1, 1, \tfrac{25}{93}, \tfrac{25}{93}, 0, 0, 0, 0$$

Corollary 7.2. *The non-arithmetic lattices in* $\mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ *arising via cyclic coverings fall into precisely nine commensurability classes.*

Proof. Using the trace field, we only need to decide whether no. 2 or 3 belong to the class of $\{1,4\}$ or are commensurable and whether no. 10 belongs to the class of $\{8,9\}$. But by Theorem 5.4, the primitive Lyapunov spectrum is a commensurability invariant among lattices admitting a modular embedding. □

The proof of the theorem relies on computing the right-hand side of (1). We first show how to relate this quantity to contributions coming from the boundary divisors, and then compute these contributions for each case.

7.1. **Intersection products of Chern classes.** Let $B^u = \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma'$ be a ball quotient, where $\Gamma' \subset \mathrm{PU}(1,n)$ acts cofinitely and freely on $\mathbb{B}^n$. We assume that there is a smooth projective variety Y such that B^u embeds into Y with $Y \setminus B^u = \Delta$, a divisor with normal crossings. Suppose further that we are given a polarized $\mathbb{C}$-VHS $\mathbb{L}^u$ on B^u of weight 1 and signature $(1,n)$, whose local monodromies are unipotent. Recall from Section 2.2.1 that Higgs field τ of $\mathbb{L}^u$ comes with two derived maps $\widetilde{\tau}$ and $\tau^\vee$.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that $\widetilde{\tau}$ (or equivalently, $\tau^\vee$) is injective. Then*

$$\frac{(n+1)\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^n} = 1 - \frac{\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^n} \tag{15}$$

where $\omega_Y = \bigwedge^n \Omega_Y(\log\Delta)$.

Proof. From the assumption, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log\Delta) \to \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \to \operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee \to 0.$$

By Simpson's correspondence (see e.g. [VZ06, Proposition 2.4]), we have

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{1,0} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{0,1}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1} = 0,$$

and from the above exact sequence we obtain

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{0,1}) = \mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{T}_Y(-\log\Delta)) + n\cdot \mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{1,0}) + \mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee).$$

Combining these two equations yields

$$(n+1)\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1} = -(\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{T}_Y(-\log\Delta)) + \mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1}.$$

□

Remark 7.4. *For the computation of* $\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)$*, it will be convenient to consider the short exact sequence associated with $\widetilde{\tau}$*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log\Delta) \to \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}^{1,0},\mathcal{E}^{0,1}) \to \operatorname{koker}\widetilde{\tau} \to 0$$

Then $\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\widetilde{\tau}) = \mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)$*, using additivity of* c_1 *on short exact sequences.*

7.2. **Computation of $\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)$ for the case (INT).** Let $N \geq 4$, and let $f : \mathcal{X} \to B_0$ be a cyclic covering of type $(d, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$, such that the collection $\mu_i = a_i/d$ satisfies (INT). Let $B \cong \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$ be the ball quotient parametrizing μ-stable points. Let $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ be coprime to d, and such that the direct summand $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_k$ in the VHS of f is polarized by a hermitian form of signature $(1,n)$.

We can find a finite index normal subgroup Γ' of Γ such that the local monodromies about the boundary divisors are unipotent under ρ_k, and such that moreover Γ' acts freely on $\mathbb{B}^n$. We denote $\pi : B^u \to B$ and $u : \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma'$ the two projections, and let $\mathbb{L}^u$ be the pullback of $\mathbb{L}$ to $\pi^{-1}(B_0) \subset B^u$. By the discussion in Section 6.6.1, we can extend $\mathbb{L}^u$ to a VHS on B^u, and we denote it by the same letter.

There is a smooth projective complex algebraic variety Y and an inclusion $B^u \subset Y$ such that $\Delta = Y \setminus B^u$ (which is possibly empty) is a normal crossing divisor. More concretely, we can take Y to be the normalization of B^u over B^{nc}, after possibly resolving its singularities.

The results of the preceding sections apply to $\mathbb{L}^u$. Let $\tau^\vee = \tau_k^\vee$ be the dual of the Higgs field, and let p_k be the period map for $\mathbb{L}$, which we think of as a multi-valued map on B^u. We have

Lemma 7.5.

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee) = \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}:\\ \mu_i+\mu_j<1}} n_{ij}^k \cdot \sum_{\substack{L\subset\pi^{-1}L_{ij}\\ irred.}} [L] \qquad + \sum_{\substack{\Delta'\subset\Delta\\ irred.}} n_{\Delta'}\Delta'$$

Moreover, n_{ij}^k is the vanishing order of $\det(J(p_k))$ *at a point of* $\pi^* L_{ij}^\circ$.

Proof. Define the line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \bigwedge^n \mathcal{T}_B(-\log\Delta) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \bigwedge^n \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}^{1,0},\mathcal{E}^{0,1}).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee) = \mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{L}_2) - \mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{L}_1).$$

Let $\phi : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_2$ be the map induced by $\widetilde{\tau}_k$. It is locally at $p \in Y$ given by multiplication with $a_p \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$. We tensor both line bundles with $\mathcal{L}_2^{-1}$. Then the image of $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}$ under $\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}$ is the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$ locally generated by a_p. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{I}) = -\,\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\widetilde{\tau}),$$

and $\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{I}) = -D$ for some divisor D on Y.

It follows from Lemma 6.4, that $a_p = 1$ if $p \in \pi^{-1}(B_0)$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{supp}(-D) \subseteq \bigcup_{(i,j):\mu_i+\mu_j<1} \pi^{-1}L_{ij} \cup \Delta.$$

Let $p \in \pi^{-1}L_{ij}^\circ$, and let L be the irreducible component of $\pi^* L_{ij}$ containing p. Then $\operatorname{ord}_L(-D) = \operatorname{ord}_L(a_p)$. Since $\phi = \wedge^n\widetilde{\tau}$ and in the interior, $\widetilde{\tau}$ is the derivative of the period map p_k, it follows that $\operatorname{ord}_L(a_p)$ is independent of the irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}L_{ij}$, since p_k is equivariant under the full group Γ, and given by the vanishing order of $\det(J(p_k))$ along a component of the preimage of L_{ij}. $\square$

7.2.1. **Near elliptic divisors.** Next we study $\widetilde{\tau}_k$ at one of the elliptic divisors L_{ij}. By Lemma 6.7, $\mu_i(k) + \mu_j(k) \neq 1$, and

$$\ell_{ij}^k = \kappa_{ij} \cdot |1 - \mu_i(k) - \mu_j(k)| \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 7.6. *We have*

$$n_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} \ell_{ij}^k - 1 & \text{if } \mu_i(k)+\mu_j(k) < 1 \\ n\cdot\ell_{ij}^k - 1 & \text{if } \mu_i(k)+\mu_j(k) > 1 \end{cases}$$

To prove this lemma, we will need an explicit description of the period map locally about L_{ij}. We recall the discuss in [DM86].

Consider a neighborhood of a point

$$\underline{x}' = (x_1',\ldots,x_N') \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})\backslash(\mathbb{P}^1)^N,$$

where precisely two entries coincide. Up to renumbering, we can assume them to be the first two. Lift the point to $\mathbb{C}^N$ by normalizing $x_1' = x_2' = 0$, $x_{N-1}' = a$,

$x'_N = b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ some suitable points. Let ε be so small that the disks of radius ε about the x'_i do not intersect, and let U be the set of

$$\underline{x} = (x_1 = 0, x_2, \ldots, x_{N-2}, x_{N-1} = a, x_N = b)$$

such that $|x_i - x'_i| < \varepsilon$. Then U describes a neighborhood of $\underline{x}' \in B$.

About $\underline{x} \in U$, we can choose a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\underline{x}\}, L)$ as follows (compare Sect. 6.6.3). Let γ_1 be the straight line segment connecting $x_1 = 0$ and x_2. Embed a tree T into $\mathbb{C} \setminus B_\varepsilon(0)$ such that its vertices are x_i, $i = 3, \ldots, N$, and let γ_j $(j = 2, \ldots, N-2)$ be the edges of T (with a chosen orientation). For each $j = 1, \ldots, N-2$, choose a section e_j of $L^\vee$ on interior of γ_j.

With this choice of basis, we obtain in particular for the first of the components F^k_j of p_k

$$\begin{aligned} F^k_1(\underline{x}) &= \int_0^{x_2} x^{-\mu_1(k)}(x - x_2)^{-\mu_2(k)} \prod_{i \neq 1,2} (x - x_i)^{-\mu_i(k)}\, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= x_2^{1-\mu_1(k)-\mu_2(k)} \int_0^1 x^{-\mu_1(k)}(x-1)^{-\mu_2(k)} \prod_{i \neq 1,2} (x_2 x - x_i)^{-\mu_i(k)}\, \mathrm{d}x \end{aligned}$$

The factor

$$I_k(\underline{x}) = \int_0^1 x^{-\mu_1(k)}(x-1)^{-\mu_2(k)} \prod_{i \neq 1,2} (x_2 x - x_i)^{-\mu_i(k)}\, \mathrm{d}x,$$

and I_k is well-defined at $\{x_2 = 0\}$. Moreover (compare [DM86, 9.5]), I_k is holomorphic and $I_k \neq 0$ at $\{x_2 = 0\}$, so wlog does not vanish on U.

The case $\mu_i(k) + \mu_j(k) < 1$. The above discussion, together with Lemma 6.4, yields

Lemma 7.7 ([DM86, 9.5])**.** *Assume that* $(\mu_i(1))_i$ *satisfies* (INT) *and* $\mu_i(k) + \mu_j(k) < 1$.

a) In a neighborhood U of the divisor L_{ij}, the period map is a multi-valued map to $\mathbb{P}^n$, locally given as

$$(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1^{1-\mu_i(k)-\mu_j(k)}, z_2, \ldots, z_n),$$

where $z_1 = 0$ is a local equation for L_{ij}.

b) The ramification order of $\pi : B^u \to B$ at L_{ij} is

$$\kappa_{ij} = (1 - \mu_i - \mu_j)^{-1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 7.6, first case. The period map p_k thought of as a multi-valued map on B^u is locally about L_{ij} given as the composition

$$(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1^{1-\mu_i(k)-\mu_j(k)}, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \circ (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1^{\kappa_{ij}}, z_2, \ldots, z_n).$$

Hence, $\det(J(p_k)) = \ell^k_{ij} z_1^{\ell^k_{ij}-1}$. ☐

The case $\mu_i(k) + \mu_j(k) > 1$. Then

$$F^k_1 = x_2^{1-\mu_1(k)-\mu_2(k)} I_k$$

has a pole at $x_2 = 0$ of order $|1 - \mu_1(k) - \mu_2(k)|$ and the projective tuple

$$(x_2^{\mu_1(k)+\mu_2(k)-1} F^k_1 : \cdots : x_2^{\mu_1(k)+\mu_2(k)-1} F^k_{N-2})$$

is well-defined on U. We set $m = \mu_1(k) + \mu_2(k) - 1$ and dehomogenize p_k with respect to the first coordinate

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(\underline{x}) &= (F_2^k/F_1^k, \dots, F_{N-2}^k/F_1^k) \\ &= (x_2^m F_2^k/I_k, \dots, x_2^m F_{N-2}^k/I_k) \end{aligned}$$

For the computations below the following lemma is useful. Its proof is a straightforward computation.

Lemma 7.8. *Let* $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$f : U \to \mathbb{P}^n, \quad w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \mapsto (f_0(w) : f_1(w) : \dots : f_n(w))$$

a holomorphic map, and let $w \in U$ *be such that* $f_0(w) \neq 0$. *Then in an open neighborhood of* w *the determinants of*

$$J_1 = \left(\frac{\partial(f_i/f_0)}{\partial w_j}\right)_{i,j} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial w_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial w_n} \\ f_1 & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial w_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial w_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_n & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial w_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial w_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

are related by

$$\det(J_2) = f_0^{n+1} \det(J_1)$$

We would like to determine the vanishing order of the determinant of period map $\overline{p}_k$ at a point $\underline{x} = (x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3, \dots, x_N)$. Note that

$$\left(\frac{\partial(f_i/f_0)}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j} = f_0^{-2} \left(\begin{vmatrix} f_0 & f_i \\ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_j} & \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \end{vmatrix} \right)_{i,j}$$

and recall that only $x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$ are varying coordinates. We have to evaluate the vanishing order of the determinant of the following matrix. We suppress the super- and subscript k in the following.

$$J = I^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2^m F_2 \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_2} & m x_2^{m-1} F_2 + x_2^m \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2^m F_2 \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_3} & x_2^m \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_3} \end{vmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2^m F_2 \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{n+1}} & x_2^m \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2^m F_{n+1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_2} & m x_2^{m-1} F_{n+1} + x_2^m \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_2} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2^m F_{n+1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_3} & x_2^m \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_3} \end{vmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2^m F_{n+1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{n+1}} & x_2^m \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 7.9. *J vanishes of order x_2^{nm-1} at $\underline{x}$.*

Proof. Write $\det(J) = I^{-2} x_2^{(n-1)m+m-1} \det(\widetilde{J})$ with

$$\widetilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2 F_2 \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_2} & m F_2 + x_2 \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} I & F_2 \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_3} \end{vmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{vmatrix} I & F_2 \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{n+1}} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \begin{vmatrix} I & x_2 F_{n+1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_2} & m F_{n+1} + x_2 \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_2} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} I & F_{n+1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_3} \end{vmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{vmatrix} I & F_{n+1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{n+1}} & \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

We show that $\widetilde{J}$ does not vanish at $\underline{x} = (0, x_3, \ldots, x_{n+1})$. Evaluation at $\underline{x}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\widetilde{J}) &= mI \begin{vmatrix} F_2 & I\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_3} - F_2\frac{\partial I}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & I\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_{n+1}} - F_2\frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{n+1} & I\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_3} - F_{n+1}\frac{\partial I}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & I\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} - F_{n+1}\frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= mI \begin{vmatrix} F_2 & I\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & I\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{n+1} & I\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & I\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= mI^{1+(n-1)} \begin{vmatrix} F_2 & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{n+1} & \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{vmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 7.8, we know that the determinant of

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_2 & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{n+1} & \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_3} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial x_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

does not vanish at a point $(x_3, \ldots, x_{n+1})$ where all coordinates are distinct and different from 0, x_{N-1}, x_N, since this matrix belongs to the period map of the tuple

$$\mu' = (\mu_1(k) + \mu_2(k), \mu_2(k), \ldots, \mu_N(k)).$$

When $N = 4$, the above determinant degenerates to F_2, whose non-vanishing is the content of [DM86, Proposition 2.13]. □

Proof of Lemma 7.6, second case. If we precompose the period map starting on U with $\pi : B^u \to B$, then using the chain rule, we see that $\det(J(p_k))$ vanishes of order $\kappa_{ij}nm - 1$ at a generic point of the preimage of L_{ij}. □

7.3. **Near parabolic divisors.**

Lemma 7.10. *We have $n_{\Delta'} = 0$ for every irreducible component Δ' of Δ.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 the period map is a local isomorphism away from the elliptic divisors, hence in particular near a generic point of a parabolic boundary divisor. It thus suffices to prove the lemma for a uniformizing VHS.

To emphasize similarity with the case of the universal family of elliptic curves, we use the Siegel domain realization

$$\mathbb{B}_{\text{Siegel}} = \{(z_1 : z_2 : \ldots : z_n : 1) : \operatorname{Im}(z_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} |z_i|^2 > 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

and work near the boundary point $(1 : 0 : \cdots : 0) \in \mathbb{P}^n$. A loop around the boundary point is represented by the parabolic matrix $T = I_{n+1} + E_{1,n+1}$. We write $q = \exp(2\pi i z_1)$.

We also work with the dual uniformizing VHS, where now $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ has rank one. Over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{Siegel}}$ we choose a basis $s_1, \ldots, s_{n+1}$ of the constant local system and define the Hodge filtration $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}$ as the kernel of the tautological quotient map

$$(x_1,\dots,x_n)\mapsto\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} z_i x_i.$$

Explicitly, $\mathbb{W}^{1,0}=[\omega_i : x_i - z_i x_{n+1}, i=1,\dots,n]$. The sections x_i for $i=2,\dots,n+1$ extend over the boundary to $\widetilde{x_i}$ and together with $\widetilde{x_1}=x_1-z_1x_{n+1}$ they form a basis of the Deligne extension of the local system.

A basis of $\mathcal{T}_Y(-\log\Delta)$ near a point in Δ is given by $2\pi i q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},\dots,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$. One calculates that the matrix of derivatives of the ω_i in these directions is minus the identity. This is equivalent to τ being an isomorphism near the boundary and to the vanishing of the cokernel, as claimed. □

7.4. **Computation of** $\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)$ **for the case (ΣINT).** The calculations proceed analogously to the (INT) case with some minor twists.

Let $N\geq 5$, and let $f:\mathcal{X}\to B_0$ be a cyclic covering of type $(d,a_1,\dots,a_N)$, and assume now that the collection $\mu_i=a_i/d$ satisfies (ΣINT) for some $S\subset\{1,\dots,N\}$. Then $B/\Sigma=\mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$ is a ball quotient. The primitive part of the VHS defined by f then furnishes a VHS on F/Σ. Let $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{L}_{k,\Sigma}$ be one of its direct summands, which is polarized by a hermitian form of signature $(1,n)$.

We choose again an appropriate subgroup $\Gamma'\subset\Gamma$, and define B^u, π, Y, Δ and $\mathbb{L}^u$ analogously to the (INT)-case. Again the results of Section 7.1 apply; Let $\tau^\vee=\tau_k^\vee$ be the dual of the Higgs field, and let $p_{k,\Sigma}$ be the period map for $\mathbb{L}$.

Let $F\subset B_0$ be the open dense submanifold, where Σ acts freely, and let $M\subset B_0\setminus F$ be the codimension one fix locus of Σ in B_0 (which is only present in a few cases). We denote M_l, $l=1,\dots,r$ the irreducible components of the closure of M in B. Let $\varpi : B\to B/\Sigma$ be the canonical projection, and let

$$\{\overline{L}_{ij}\}\quad\text{and}\quad\{\overline{M}_l\}$$

be a system of representatives of Σ-orbits of the divisors L_{ij} and M_l.

Lemma 7.11 ([DM93, Lemma 8.3.2])**.** *The map $\varpi : B\to B/\Sigma$ is ramified of order two at each smooth point of $\bigcup_{i,j\in S}L_{ij}\cup\bigcup_l M_l$.*

Lemma 7.12. *a) The ramification order of $\pi : B^u\to B/\Sigma$ at a point $x\in B^u$ is*

$$\begin{cases}\kappa_{ij} & \text{, for generic } x \text{ in } \pi^{-1}\overline{L}_{ij} \text{ with } i,j\notin S\\ 2\kappa_{ij} & \text{, for generic } x \text{ in } \pi^{-1}\overline{L}_{ij} \text{ with } i,j\in S\\ 2 & \text{, for generic } x\in\pi^{-1}\overline{M}_l\\ 1 & \text{, if } x\in\pi^{-1}(\varpi(F))\end{cases}$$

b) We have

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)=\sum_{i,j}n_{ij}^k\cdot\sum_{\substack{L\subset\pi^{-1}\overline{L}_{ij}\\ \text{irred.}}}[L]$$

where the n_{ij}^k are given as in Lemma 7.6.

Proof. a) Let x be a point in $\varpi(F)$ or on one of the divisors $\overline{L}_{ij}$ or $\overline{M}_l$, but outside the intersection with any of the other divisors. The map ϖ is locally at x a ramified covering map. By Lemma 7.11, its multi-valued inverse is of the form

$$(z_1,\dots,z_n)\mapsto(z_1^{1/2},z_2,\dots,z_n)\quad\text{or}\quad\mathrm{id}:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n$$

depending on whether $x \in \bigcup_{i,j\in S} L_{ij} \cup \bigcup_l M_l$ or not. If p_k denotes the multi-valued period map associated with $\mathbb{L}_k$ on B, then $p_{k,\Sigma}$ is locally at x given as the composition of the multi-valued inverse of ϖ and p_k. For $k=1$, the single-valued period map is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{B}^1_\Sigma$ with $\mathbb{B}^n$, and the map $\mathbb{B}^n \to B^u$ is unramified, so $\pi : B^u \to B$ must precisely make up for the ramification of the multi-valued map $p_{1,\Sigma}$. This shows a).

b) First, notice that we can copy the proof of Lemma 7.5 to see that the support of $\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee)$ is contained in the complement of $\pi^{-1}(\varpi(F))$. Next by essentially the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 7.10, there are no contributions from the boundary Δ. Furthermore, n^k_{ij} is again given by the vanishing order of $\det(J(p_{k,\Sigma}))$. By the proof of Part a) and the chain rule, this vanishing order is still given as in Lemma 7.6. □

7.5. **Collecting the contributions.** In this section, we gather all the steps needed to compute the Lyapunov exponents, and thus to prove Theorem 7.1.

Since (ΣINT) comprises (INT), we consider the setup of Section 7.4, and work with the notations introduced there. In particular,$N \geq 5$, $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_{k,\Sigma}$ is a direct summand of the primitive part of the VHS on B/Σ, induced by a cyclic covering, and $\tau^\vee$ is the dual Higgs field of the pullback VHS $\mathbb{L}^u$ on B^u.

Proposition 7.13. *The positive Lyapunov spectrum of* $\mathbb{L}^u$ *is*

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1 \geq \underbrace{0 = \cdots = 0}_{n-1}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - \frac{\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^n}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 iii), $2n-2$ of the $2n+2$ Lyapunov exponents of $\mathbb{L}^u$ are zero. Moreover, since we are working with a $\mathbb{C}$-variation, the first Lyapunov exponent occurs with multiplicity 2, i.e.

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2.$$

By Proposition 3.2 and its proof, the Lyapunov spectrum of $\mathbb{W}_\mathbb{R}$, the $\mathbb{R}$-variation associated with $\mathbb{L}_u \oplus \overline{\mathbb{L}_u}$, is the same as the one of $\mathbb{L}^u$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1 iv),

$$2\lambda_1 = \frac{(n+1)\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{W}^{1,0}).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^n}$$

with $\mathcal{W}^{1,0} = \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{E}}^{1,0}$, where $\mathcal{E}^{1,0}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}^{1,0}$ are the $1,0$-parts of the Deligne extensions of the holomorphic subbundles associated with $\mathbb{L}^u$ and $\overline{\mathbb{L}^u}$. From the antiholomorphic isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{0,1} \cong \overline{\mathcal{E}}^{1,0}$ and the fact that $\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{1,0}) = -\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{0,1})$, we infer that

$$\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{W}^{1,0}) = 2\,\mathrm{c}_1(\mathcal{E}^{1,0}).$$

Now, Lemma 7.3 implies the claim. □

Next, we argue that we can compute the above intersection products on B/Σ instead of B^u. We introduce the divisor $D_k \in \operatorname{Pic}(B^{\mathrm{nc}}/\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ given by

$$D_k = \sum_{i,j} \frac{n^k_{ij}}{\kappa_{ij}} \,[\overline{L}_{ij}],$$

and the orbifold canonical divisor

$$K^{\mathrm{orb}} = K_{B^{\mathrm{nc}}/\Sigma} + \sum_{i,j\in S}\Big(1-\frac{1}{2\kappa_{ij}}\Big)[\overline{L}_{ij}] + \sum_{i,j\notin S}\Big(1-\frac{1}{\kappa_{ij}}\Big)[\overline{L}_{ij}] + \sum_{l}\frac{1}{2}[\overline{M}_l]$$

Lemma 7.14. *We have*

$$1 - \frac{\mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee).\,\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^{n-1}}{\mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y)^n} = 1 - \frac{D_k.(K^{\mathrm{orb}})^{n-1}}{(K^{\mathrm{orb}})^n}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.12, the divisors D_k and K^{orb} are adapted to satisfy

$$\pi^* D_k = \mathrm{c}_1(\operatorname{koker}\tau^\vee) \quad\text{and}\quad \pi^* K^{\mathrm{orb}} = \mathrm{c}_1(\omega_Y).$$

Since

$$\pi^* D_1.\pi^* D_2 = \deg(\pi)\cdot D_1.D_2$$

the claim follows. □

We can now plug in the concrete realizations of $\mathrm{Pic}(B^{\mathrm{nc}}/\Sigma)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ from Section 6.4 to finish the computation in each individual case.

Appendix A. Local calculations for the complex n-ball

The following calculation should be somewhere in the literature.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let $R = R(z) = (1-(z,z))$ and let

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar z_j\,\mathrm{d}z_j \qquad B = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k\,\mathrm{d}\bar z_k \qquad C = R\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{d}z_i\wedge\mathrm{d}\bar z_i$$

We compute $\bigwedge^{n-1}(A\wedge B + C)$. First, note that the $\wedge$ of 2-forms is symmetric, so if a, b are 2-forms then

$$\bigwedge^{n-1}(a+b) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}\bigwedge^{k} a\wedge\bigwedge^{n-1-k} b.$$

Hence,

$$\bigwedge^{n-1}(A\wedge B + C) = \bigwedge^{n-1} C + (n-1)A\wedge B\wedge\bigwedge^{n-2} C.$$

Now

$$\bigwedge^{k} C = R^k\cdot k!\sum_{i_1<\ldots<i_k}\mathrm{d}z_{i_1}\wedge\mathrm{d}\bar z_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z_{i_k}\wedge\mathrm{d}\bar z_{i_k}$$

and $A \wedge B = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{R^{n-2}(n-2)!} A \wedge B \wedge \bigwedge^{n-2} C \\
&= \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sum_{k<l} \sigma_{kl} \right) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k<l} \bar{z}_i z_j \underbrace{\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{kl}}_{\neq 0 \text{ iff } \{i,j\} \subset \{k,l\}} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l \neq i} |z_i|^2 \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_i \wedge \sigma_{il} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij} + \sum_{i,l=1}^n |z_i|^2 \omega_l - \sum_{l=1}^n |z_l|^2 \omega_l \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij} + (1-R) \sum_{l=1}^n \omega_l - \sum_{l=1}^n |z_l|^2 \omega_l \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^{n-1} &= \frac{(2\kappa i)^{n-1}}{R^{2n-2}} \cdot \bigwedge^{n-1} (A \wedge B + C) \\
&= \frac{(2\kappa i)^{n-1}}{R^{2n-2}} R^{n-2} (n-1)! \left(R \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i + \sum_{i \neq j} \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. (1-R) \sum_{l=1}^n \omega_l - \sum_{l=1}^n |z_l|^2 \omega_l \right) \\
&= \frac{(2\kappa i)^{n-1}(n-1)!}{R^n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i + \sum_{i \neq j} \bar{z}_i z_j \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij} - \sum_{l=1}^n |z_l|^2 \omega_l \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Further, if $F : \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth function then

$$\partial \bar{\partial} F = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} F \,\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j.$$

Let $\widetilde{c} = (2\kappa i)^{n-1}(n-1)!R^{-n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\bar{\partial}F \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^{n-1} &= \widetilde{c}\left(\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}\, \mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j\right) \wedge \\ &\qquad \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}(1-|z_l|^2)\omega_l + \sum_{i\neq j} \bar{z}_i z_j\, \mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \sigma_{ij}\right) \\ &= \widetilde{c}\Bigg(\sum_{i,j}\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(1-|z_l|^2)\, \underbrace{\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \omega_l}_{\neq 0 \text{ iff } i=j=l} \\ &\qquad + \sum_{i,j}\sum_{k\neq l} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \bar{z}_k z_l\, \underbrace{\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_j \wedge \mathrm{d}z_k \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_l \wedge \sigma_{kl}}_{\neq 0 \text{ iff } i=l, j=k}\Bigg) \\ &= \widetilde{c}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_l \partial \bar{z}_l} - \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_l \partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{z}_k z_l\right) \mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_n\end{aligned}$$

We compare this expression to

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}F\, \mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n} = c_\Delta R \cdot \frac{(2\kappa i)^n}{R^{n+1}} &\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_i} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} z_i \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}\right) \\ &\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_n\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}}F\, \mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n} = l \cdot \partial\bar{\partial}F \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^{n-1}$$

with

$$l = \frac{c_\Delta (2\kappa i)}{(n-1)!}.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 2.2. By homogeneity, we can assume $x = 0$. Proceeding in exactly the same way as in [For02], we set

$$L_r(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}} \int_{T_0^1 \mathbb{B}^n} L(\exp_0(t,\theta))\, \mathrm{d}\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_r(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}} \int_{T_0^1 \mathbb{B}^n} \Lambda(\exp_0(t,\theta))\, \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

Then since $\Delta_{S_t(0)} L_r = 0$ as it involves only partial derivatives in θ, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{hyp}} L_r(t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} L_r(t) + f(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} L_r(t) = \Lambda_r(t). \tag{16}$$

Thus $u(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} L_r(t)$ satisfies a first-order ODE, whose solution is

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\sinh^{2n-2}(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}) \sinh(\frac{2t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})} \int_0^t \Lambda_r(\tau) \sinh^{2n-2}(\tfrac{\tau}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}) \sinh(\tfrac{2\tau}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})\, \mathrm{d}\tau \tag{17}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}}u(t) &= \frac{(4\kappa)^n}{2n}\sinh^{2n}(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})\cdot\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}_t^n)}u(t)\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{n}\cdot\frac{\sinh^2(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})}{\sinh(\frac{2t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})}\cdot\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}_t^n)}\cdot\\ &\quad\cdot\int_0^t\int_{T_0^1\mathbb{B}^n}\Lambda(\exp_0(\tau,\theta))\frac{(4\kappa)^n}{2\sqrt{2\kappa}}\sinh^{2n-2}(\tfrac{\tau}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})\sinh(\tfrac{2\tau}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\sigma\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{2n}\tanh(\tfrac{t}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}_t^n)}\int_{\mathbb{B}_t^n}\Lambda\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathbb{B}^n}\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[BM10] I. Bouw and M. Möller. Teichmüller curves, triangle groups, and Lyapunov exponents. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):139–185, 2010.

[Bou05] I. Bouw. Pseudo-elliptic bundles, deformation data and the reduction of Galois covers, 2005.

[CKS86] Eduardo Cattani, Aroldo Kaplan, and Wilfried Schmid. Degeneration of Hodge structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 123(3):457–535, 1986.

[CW93] P. B. Cohen and J. Wolfart. Fonctions hypergéométriques en plusieurs variables et espaces des modules de variétés abéliennes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 26(6):665–690, 1993.

[DM86] P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow. Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and nonlattice integral monodromy. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (63):5–89, 1986.

[DM93] P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow. *Commensurabilities among lattices in* PU$(1,n)$, volume 132 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[EKZ10] A. Eskin, M. Kontsevich, and A. Zorich. Lyapunov spectrum of square-tiled cyclic covers, 2010, arXiv: math.DS/1007.5330.

[EKZ11] A. Eskin, M. Kontsevich, and A. Zorich. Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow, 2011, arXiv: math.AG/1112.5872.

[FMZ11] G. Forni, C. Matheus, and A. Zorich. Lyapunov spectrum of invariant subbundles of the Hodge bundle, 2011, arXiv:math/1112.0370v2.

[FMZ12] G. Forni, C. Matheus, and A. Zorich. Zero Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle, 2012, arXiv:math/1201.6075.

[For02] G. Forni. Deviation of ergodic averages for area-preserving flows on surfaces of higher genus. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(1):1–103, 2002.

[Ful84] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[Gol99] W. M. Goldman. *Complex hyperbolic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1999. Oxford Science Publications.

[Has03] B. Hassett. Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves. *Adv. Math.*, 173(2):316–352, 2003.

[Hel94] S. Helgason. *Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces*, volume 39 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.

[Hun00] B. Hunt. Higher-dimensional ball quotients and the invariant quintic. *Transform. Groups*, 5(2):121–156, 2000.

[Huy05] D. Huybrechts. *Complex geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. An introduction.

[KN96] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1996. Reprint of the 1969 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[Kon97] M. Kontsevich. Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory. In *The mathematical beauty of physics (Saclay, 1996)*, volume 24 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 318–332. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[KZ97] M. Kontsevich. and A. Zorich. Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory, 1997, arXiv:hep-th/9701164.

[Mos80] G. D. Mostow. On a remarkable class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic space. *Pacific J. Math.*, 86(1):171–276, 1980.

[Mos86] G. D. Mostow. Generalized Picard lattices arising from half-integral conditions. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (63):91–106, 1986.

[Mos88] G. D. Mostow. On discontinuous action of monodromy groups on the complex n-ball. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(3):555–586, 1988.

[MVZ11] M. Möller, E. Viehweg, and K. Zuo. Special families of curves, of Abelian varieties, and of certain minimal manifolds over curves, 2011, preprint, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.

[Par09] J.R. Parker. Complex hyperbolic lattices. In *Discrete groups and geometric structures*, volume 501 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–42. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[Pau10] J. Paupert. Unfaithful complex hyperbolic triangle groups. III. Arithmeticity and commensurability. *Pacific J. Math.*, 245(2):359–372, 2010.

[Roy80] H. L. Royden. The Ahlfors-Schwarz lemma in several complex variables. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55(4):547–558, 1980.

[Rud80] W. Rudin. *Function theory in the unit ball of* $\mathbf{C}^n$, volume 241 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

[Sau90] J.K. Sauter, Jr. Isomorphisms among monodromy groups and applications to lattices in $\mathrm{PU}(1,2)$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 146(2):331–384, 1990.

[Shi04] H. Shiga. On holomorphic mappings of complex manifolds with ball model. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 56(4):1087–1107, 2004.

[Thu98] W. P. Thurston. Shapes of polyhedra and triangulations of the sphere. In *The Epstein birthday schrift*, volume 1 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 511–549 (electronic). Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 1998.

[VZ06] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo. Numerical bounds for semi-stable families of curves or of certain higher-dimensional manifolds. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 15(4):771–791, 2006.

[VZ07] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo. Arakelov inequalities and the uniformization of certain rigid Shimura varieties. *J. Differential Geom.*, 77(2):291–352, 2007.

[Wri11] Alex Wright. Abelian square-tiled surfaces. preprint, 2011.

[Zor06] A. Zorich. Flat surfaces. In *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry. Volume 1: On random matrices, zeta functions and dynamical systems*, pages 439–586. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

Institut für Mathematik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Robert-Mayer-Str. 6-8, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

E-mail address: `kappes@math.uni-frankfurt.de`

E-mail address: `moeller@math.uni-frankfurt.de`